

## **Computing** *x*-dependent PDFs on the lattice

## Krzysztof Cichy Adam Mickiewicz University, Poznań, Poland







This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under the Marie Sklodowska-Curie grant agreement No 642069.



Krzysztof Cichy

Computing x-dependent PDFs on the lattice – EINN 2019 – Paphos – 1 / 39





- PDFs on the lattice 1
- Quasi-PDFs 2.
- Other approaches 3.
- Selected results 4
- New directions 5.
- Conclusions and prospects 6.

Collaborators:

- C. Alexandrou (Cyprus)
- M. Constantinou (Temple)
- L. Del Debbio (Edinburgh)
- T. Giani (Edinburgh)
- K. Hadjiyiannakou (Cyprus)
- K. Jansen (DESY)
- A. Scapellato (Poznań)
- F. Steffens (Bonn)

### Based on:

- C. Alexandrou, K. Cichy, M. Constantinou, K. Hadjiviannakou, K. Jansen, A. Scapellato, F. Steffens, "Systematic uncertainties in parton distribution functions from lattice QCD simulations at the physical point", Phys. Rev. D99 (2019) 114504
- K. Cichy, L. Del Debbio, T. Giani, "Parton distributions from lattice data: the nonsinglet case", JHEP 10 (2019) 137
- C. Alexandrou, K. Cichy, M. Constantinou, K. Hadjiyiannakou, K. Jansen, A. Scapellato, F. Steffens, "Quasi-PDFs with twisted mass fermions", arXiv:1910.13229, LATTICE19 proceedings
- C. Alexandrou, K. Cichy, M. Constantinou, K. Jansen, A. Scapellato, F. Steffens, "Light-Cone Parton Distribution Functions from Lattice QCD", Phys. Rev. Lett. 121 (2018) 112001
- C. Alexandrou, K. Cichy, M. Constantinou, K. Jansen, A. Scapellato, F. Steffens, "Transversity parton distribution functions from lattice QCD", Phys. Rev. D98 (2018) 091503 (Rapid Communications)
- C. Alexandrou, K. Cichy, M. Constantinou, K. Hadjiyiannakou, K. Jansen, H. Panagopoulos, F. Steffens, "A complete nonperturbative renormalization prescription for quasi-PDFs", Nucl. Phys. B923 (2017) 394-415 (invited Frontiers Article)

## Review of the field:

• K. Cichy, M. Constantinou, "A guide to light-cone PDFs from Lattice QCD: an overview of approaches, techniques and results", invited review article for a special issue of Advances in High Energy Physics, Adv. High Energy Phys. 2019 (2019) 3036904, arXiv: 1811.07248 [hep-lat]



Collaboration

NNPDF



## Parton distribution functions



Outline of the talk

Quasi-PDFs

#### PDFs

Approaches Quasi-PDFs Pseudo-PDFs Good LCSs

Procedure

Results

Summary

- Hadrons are complicated systems with properties resulting from the strong dynamics of quarks and gluons inside them.
- This dynamics is characterized in terms of, among others, parton distribution functions (PDFs).
- PDFs are essential in making predictions for collider experiments.



Krzysztof Cichy

Computing x-dependent PDFs on the lattice – EINN 2019 – Paphos – 3 / 39



• PDFs can be obtained from fits to experimental data:

Outline of the talk

Quasi-PDFs

PDFs

Approaches

Quasi-PDFs

 $\mathsf{Pseudo}\mathsf{-}\mathsf{PDFs}$ 

 ${\sf Good}\ {\sf LCSs}$ 

Procedure

Results

Summary





Quasi-PDFs

Approaches Quasi-PDFs Pseudo-PDFs Good LCSs Procedure

PDFs

Results

Summary

## PDFs and the lattice



- PDFs can be obtained from fits to experimental data:
  - \* good knowledge of unpolarized and helicity PDFs,





- PDFs can be obtained from fits to experimental data:
  - \* good knowledge of unpolarized and helicity PDFs,
  - \* transversity PDFs not much constrained by experiment,

Outline of the talk

Quasi-PDFs

PDFs

Approaches

Quasi-PDFs

 ${\sf Pseudo-PDFs}$ 

Good LCSs

Procedure

Results

Summary





- PDFs can be obtained from fits to experimental data:
  - \* good knowledge of unpolarized and helicity PDFs,
  - \* transversity PDFs not much constrained by experiment,
  - $\star$  some ambiguities for certain kinematical regions.

Quasi-PDFs PDFs

Outline of the talk

Approaches

Quasi-PDFs

 ${\sf Pseudo-PDFs}$ 

Good LCSs

Procedure

Results

Summary





- PDFs can be obtained from fits to experimental data:
  - \* good knowledge of unpolarized and helicity PDFs,
  - \* transversity PDFs not much constrained by experiment,
  - $\star$  some ambiguities for certain kinematical regions.
- Hence, desirable to have them also from first principles.

Outline of the talk Quasi-PDFs

PDFs

Approaches

Quasi-PDFs

Pseudo-PDFs

Good LCSs

Procedure

Results

Summary



Quasi-PDFs

Approaches

Quasi-PDFs Pseudo-PDFs

Good LCSs

Procedure

Results

Summary

PDFs

## PDFs and the lattice



- PDFs can be obtained from fits to experimental data:
  - \* good knowledge of unpolarized and helicity PDFs,
  - \* transversity PDFs not much constrained by experiment,
  - $\star$  some ambiguities for certain kinematical regions.
- Hence, desirable to have them also from first principles.
- PDFs have non-perturbative nature  $\Rightarrow LATTICE?$



Quasi-PDFs

Approaches

Quasi-PDFs Pseudo-PDFs

Good LCSs

Procedure

Results

Summary

PDFs

## PDFs and the lattice



- PDFs can be obtained from fits to experimental data:
  - \* good knowledge of unpolarized and helicity PDFs,
  - ★ transversity PDFs not much constrained by experiment,
  - $\star$  some ambiguities for certain kinematical regions.
- Hence, desirable to have them also from first principles.
- PDFs have non-perturbative nature  $\Rightarrow$  LATTICE?
- But: PDFs given in terms of non-local light-cone correlators intrinsically Minkowskian:

$$q(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d\xi^- e^{-ixp^+\xi^-} \langle N | \overline{\psi}(\xi^-) \Gamma \mathcal{A}(\xi^-, 0) \psi(0) | N \rangle,$$
  
where:  $\xi^- = \frac{\xi^0 - \xi^3}{\sqrt{2}}$  and  $\mathcal{A}(\xi^-, 0)$  is the Wilson line from 0 to  $\xi$ 



Quasi-PDFs

Approaches

Quasi-PDFs Pseudo-PDFs

Good LCSs

Procedure

Results

Summary

PDFs

## PDFs and the lattice



- PDFs can be obtained from fits to experimental data:
  - \* good knowledge of unpolarized and helicity PDFs,
  - ★ transversity PDFs not much constrained by experiment,
  - $\star$  some ambiguities for certain kinematical regions.
- Hence, desirable to have them also from first principles.
- PDFs have non-perturbative nature  $\Rightarrow$  LATTICE?
- But: PDFs given in terms of non-local light-cone correlators intrinsically Minkowskian:

$$q(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d\xi^- e^{-ixp^+\xi^-} \langle N | \overline{\psi}(\xi^-) \Gamma \mathcal{A}(\xi^-, 0) \psi(0) | N \rangle,$$

where:  $\xi^- = \frac{\xi^0 - \xi^3}{\sqrt{2}}$  and  $\mathcal{A}(\xi^-, 0)$  is the Wilson line from 0 to  $\xi^-$ .

 $\star$  inaccessible on the lattice...



Quasi-PDFs

Approaches

Quasi-PDFs Pseudo-PDFs

Good LCSs

Procedure

Results

Summary

PDFs

## PDFs and the lattice



- PDFs can be obtained from fits to experimental data:
  - \* good knowledge of unpolarized and helicity PDFs,
  - ★ transversity PDFs not much constrained by experiment,
  - $\star$  some ambiguities for certain kinematical regions.
- Hence, desirable to have them also from first principles.
- PDFs have non-perturbative nature  $\Rightarrow$  LATTICE?
- But: PDFs given in terms of non-local light-cone correlators intrinsically Minkowskian:

$$q(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d\xi^- e^{-ixp^+\xi^-} \langle N | \overline{\psi}(\xi^-) \Gamma \mathcal{A}(\xi^-, 0) \psi(0) | N \rangle,$$

where:  $\xi^- = \frac{\xi^0 - \xi^3}{\sqrt{2}}$  and  $\mathcal{A}(\xi^-, 0)$  is the Wilson line from 0 to  $\xi^-$ .

- ★ inaccessible on the lattice...
- On the other hand, PDFs moments given in terms of local matrix elements, but only lowest 2-3 accessible.



Quasi-PDFs

Approaches

Quasi-PDFs Pseudo-PDFs

Good LCSs

Procedure

Results

Summary

PDFs

## PDFs and the lattice



- PDFs can be obtained from fits to experimental data:
  - \* good knowledge of unpolarized and helicity PDFs,
  - ★ transversity PDFs not much constrained by experiment,
  - $\star$  some ambiguities for certain kinematical regions.
- Hence, desirable to have them also from first principles.
- PDFs have non-perturbative nature  $\Rightarrow$  LATTICE?
- But: PDFs given in terms of non-local light-cone correlators intrinsically Minkowskian:

$$q(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d\xi^- e^{-ixp^+\xi^-} \langle N | \overline{\psi}(\xi^-) \Gamma \mathcal{A}(\xi^-, 0) \psi(0) | N \rangle,$$

where:  $\xi^- = \frac{\xi^0 - \xi^3}{\sqrt{2}}$  and  $\mathcal{A}(\xi^-, 0)$  is the Wilson line from 0 to  $\xi^-$ .

- ★ inaccessible on the lattice...
- On the other hand, PDFs moments given in terms of local matrix elements, but only lowest 2-3 accessible. See talks by G. Koutsou, C. Urbach



Quasi-PDFs

Approaches

Quasi-PDFs Pseudo-PDFs

Good LCSs

Procedure

Results

Summary

PDFs

## PDFs and the lattice



- PDFs can be obtained from fits to experimental data:
  - \* good knowledge of unpolarized and helicity PDFs,
  - \* transversity PDFs not much constrained by experiment,
  - $\star$  some ambiguities for certain kinematical regions.
- Hence, desirable to have them also from first principles.
- PDFs have non-perturbative nature  $\Rightarrow$  LATTICE?
- But: PDFs given in terms of non-local light-cone correlators intrinsically Minkowskian:

$$q(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d\xi^- e^{-ixp^+\xi^-} \langle N | \overline{\psi}(\xi^-) \Gamma \mathcal{A}(\xi^-, 0) \psi(0) | N \rangle,$$

where:  $\xi^- = \frac{\xi^0 - \xi^3}{\sqrt{2}}$  and  $\mathcal{A}(\xi^-, 0)$  is the Wilson line from 0 to  $\xi^-$ .

- $\star$  inaccessible on the lattice...
- On the other hand, PDFs moments given in terms of local matrix elements, but only lowest 2-3 accessible. See talks by G. Koutsou, C. Urbach
- Recently: new **direct** approaches to get x-dependence.





$$Q(x,\mu_R) = \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{dy}{y} C\left(\frac{x}{y},\mu_F,\mu_R\right) q(y,\mu_F),$$
 some lattice observable





$$Q(x,\mu_R) = \int_{-1}^1 \frac{dy}{y} C\left(\frac{x}{y},\mu_F,\mu_R\right) q(y,\mu_F),$$
 some lattice observable

- Two classes of approaches:
  - $\star$  generalizations of light-cone functions; direct *x*-dependence,
  - $\star$  hadronic tensor; decomposition into structure functions.





$$Q(x,\mu_R) = \int_{-1}^1 \frac{dy}{y} C\left(\frac{x}{y},\mu_F,\mu_R\right) q(y,\mu_F),$$
 some lattice observable

- Two classes of approaches:
  - $\star$  generalizations of light-cone functions; direct *x*-dependence,
  - \* hadronic tensor; decomposition into structure functions.
- Matrix elements:  $\langle N | \bar{\psi}(z) \Gamma F(z) \Gamma' \psi(0) | N \rangle$  with different choices of  $\Gamma, \Gamma'$  Dirac structures and objects F(z).
  - \* hadronic tensor K.-F. Liu, S.-J. Dong, 1993
  - \* auxiliary scalar quark U. Aglietti et al., 1998
  - \* auxiliary heavy quark W. Detmold, C.-J. D. Lin, 2005
  - \* auxiliary light quark V. Braun, D. Müller, 2007
  - \* quasi-distributions X. Ji, 2013
  - \* "good lattice cross sections" Y.-Q. Ma, J.-W. Qiu, 2014,2017
  - \* **pseudo-distributions** A. Radyushkin, 2017
  - ★ "OPE without OPE" QCDSF, 2017





$$Q(x,\mu_R) = \int_{-1}^1 \frac{dy}{y} C\left(\frac{x}{y},\mu_F,\mu_R\right) q(y,\mu_F),$$
 some lattice observable

- Two classes of approaches:
  - $\star$  generalizations of light-cone functions; direct *x*-dependence,
  - \* hadronic tensor; decomposition into structure functions.
- Matrix elements:  $\langle N | \bar{\psi}(z) \Gamma F(z) \Gamma' \psi(0) | N \rangle$  with different choices of  $\Gamma, \Gamma'$  Dirac structures and objects F(z).
  - \* hadronic tensor K.-F. Liu, S.-J. Dong, 1993
  - \* auxiliary scalar quark U. Aglietti et al., 1998
  - \* auxiliary heavy quark W. Detmold, C.-J. D. Lin, 2005
  - \* auxiliary light quark V. Braun, D. Müller, 2007
  - \* quasi-distributions X. Ji, 2013
  - \* "good lattice cross sections" Y.-Q. Ma, J.-W. Qiu, 2014,2017
  - \* pseudo-distributions A. Radyushkin, 2017
  - ★ "OPE without OPE" QCDSF, 2017

See talks by:

- K. Jansen, X. Ji, J. Qiu
- D. Richards, A. Scapellato
- S. Zafeiropoulos, J. Zhang



## Overview of results from different approaches





Krzysztof Cichy

Computing x-dependent PDFs on the lattice – EINN 2019 – Paphos – 6 / 39



Quasi-PDFs

Approaches Quasi-PDFs Pseudo-PDFs

Good LCSs

Procedure

Results

Summary

**PDFs** 



Review Article

## A Guide to Light-Cone PDFs from Lattice QCD: An Overview of Approaches, Techniques, and Results

### Krzysztof Cichy<sup>1</sup> and Martha Constantinou<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Faculty of Physics, Adam Mickiewicz University, Umultowska 85, 61-614 Poznań, Poland <sup>2</sup>Department of Physics, Temple University, Philadelphia, PA 19122 - 1801, USA

# Adv. High Energy Phys. 2019 (2019) 3036904, arXiv:1811.07248

Special issue Transverse Momentum Dependent Observables from Low to High Energy: Factorization, Evolution, and Global Analyses,

- discusses in detail quasi-distributions: nucleon: non-singlet quark qPDFs, qGPDs, qTMDs, singlet qPDFs, gluon qPDFs; pion: qPDFs, qDAs
- reviews also other approaches: hadronic tensor, auxiliary scalar quark, auxiliary heavy quark, auxiliary light quark, pseudo-distributions, "OPE without OPE", lattice cross sections





X. Ji, Parton Physics on a Euclidean Lattice, Phys. Rev. Lett. 110 (2013) 262002





X. Ji, Parton Physics on a Euclidean Lattice, Phys. Rev. Lett. 110 (2013) 262002

Main idea:







X. Ji, Parton Physics on a Euclidean Lattice, Phys. Rev. Lett. 110 (2013) 262002



Correlation along the  $\xi^-$ -direction:  $q(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d\xi^- e^{-ixp^+\xi^-} \langle N | \overline{\psi}(\xi^-) \Gamma \mathcal{A}(\xi^-, 0) \psi(0) | N \rangle$   $|N\rangle - \text{nucleon at rest in the light-cone frame}$ 





X. Ji, Parton Physics on a Euclidean Lattice, Phys. Rev. Lett. 110 (2013) 262002







X. Ji, Parton Physics on a Euclidean Lattice, Phys. Rev. Lett. 110 (2013) 262002

Main idea:  $\xi^{-}$   $\xi^{-}$   $\xi^{+}$   $\xi^{3} \equiv z$ 

Correlation along the  $\xi^-$ -direction:  $q(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int d\xi^- e^{-ixp^+\xi^-} \langle N | \overline{\psi}(\xi^-) \Gamma \mathcal{A}(\xi^-, 0) \psi(0) | N \rangle$   $|N \rangle - \text{nucleon at rest in the light-cone frame}$ Correlation along the  $\xi^3 \equiv z$ -direction:  $\tilde{q}(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int dz \, e^{ixP_3z} \langle N | \overline{\psi}(z) \Gamma \mathcal{A}(z, 0) \psi(0) | N \rangle$   $|N \rangle - \text{nucleon at rest in the standard frame}$ Correlation along the  $\xi^3$ -direction:  $\tilde{q}(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int dz \, e^{ixP_3z} \langle P | \overline{\psi}(z) \Gamma \mathcal{A}(z, 0) \psi(0) | P \rangle$   $|P \rangle - \text{boosted nucleon}$ 





X. Ji, Parton Physics on a Euclidean Lattice, Phys. Rev. Lett. 110 (2013) 262002



Matching (Large Momentum Effective Theory (LaMET) X. Ji, Parton Physics from Large-Momentum Effective Field Theory, Sci.China Phys.Mech.Astron. 57 (2014) 1407  $\rightarrow$  brings quasi-distribution to the light-cone distribution, up to power-suppressed effects:

$$\tilde{q}(x,\mu,P_3) = \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{dy}{|y|} C\left(\frac{x}{y},\frac{\mu}{P_3}\right) q(y,\mu) + \mathcal{O}\left(\Lambda_{\rm QCD}^2/P_3^2, M_N^2/P_3^2\right)$$





X. Ji, Parton Physics on a Euclidean Lattice, Phys. Rev. Lett. 110 (2013) 262002



Matching (Large Momentum Effective Theory (LaMET) X. Ji, Parton Physics from Large-Momentum Effective Field Theory, Sci.China Phys.Mech.Astron. 57 (2014) 1407  $\rightarrow$  brings quasi-distribution to the light-cone distribution, up to power-suppressed effects:

$$\begin{split} \tilde{q}(x,\mu,P_3) &= \int_{-1}^1 \frac{dy}{|y|} C\left(\frac{x}{y},\frac{\mu}{P_3}\right) q(y,\mu) + \mathcal{O}\left(\Lambda_{\rm QCD}^2/P_3^2, M_N^2/P_3^2\right) \\ \text{quasi-PDF} \end{split}$$





X. Ji, Parton Physics on a Euclidean Lattice, Phys. Rev. Lett. 110 (2013) 262002



Matching (Large Momentum Effective Theory (LaMET)
X. Ji, Parton Physics from Large-Momentum Effective Field Theory, Sci.China Phys.Mech.Astron. 57 (2014) 1407
→ brings quasi-distribution to the light-cone distribution, up to power-suppressed effects:

$$\begin{split} \tilde{q}(x,\mu,P_3) &= \int_{-1}^1 \frac{dy}{|y|} C\left(\frac{x}{y},\frac{\mu}{P_3}\right) q(y,\mu) + \mathcal{O}\left(\Lambda_{\rm QCD}^2/P_3^2, M_N^2/P_3^2\right) \\ \text{quasi-PDF} & \text{PDF} \end{split}$$

Krzysztof Cichy

Computing x-dependent PDFs on the lattice – EINN 2019 – Paphos – 8 / 39





X. Ji, Parton Physics on a Euclidean Lattice, Phys. Rev. Lett. 110 (2013) 262002



Matching (Large Momentum Effective Theory (LaMET)
X. Ji, Parton Physics from Large-Momentum Effective Field Theory, Sci.China Phys.Mech.Astron. 57 (2014) 1407
→ brings quasi-distribution to the light-cone distribution, up to power-suppressed effects:

$$\begin{split} \tilde{q}(x,\mu,P_3) &= \int_{-1}^1 \frac{dy}{|y|} \, C\!\left(\frac{x}{y},\frac{\mu}{P_3}\right) q(y,\mu) + \mathcal{O}\left(\Lambda_{\rm QCD}^2/P_3^2,M_N^2/P_3^2\right) \\ \text{quasi-PDF} & \text{pert.kernel} \quad \text{PDF} \end{split}$$

Krzysztof Cichy

Computing x-dependent PDFs on the lattice – EINN 2019 – Paphos – 8 / 39





X. Ji, Parton Physics on a Euclidean Lattice, Phys. Rev. Lett. 110 (2013) 262002



Matching (Large Momentum Effective Theory (LaMET)
X. Ji, Parton Physics from Large-Momentum Effective Field Theory, Sci.China Phys.Mech.Astron. 57 (2014) 1407
→ brings quasi-distribution to the light-cone distribution, up to power-suppressed effects:

$$\begin{split} \tilde{q}(x,\mu,P_3) &= \int_{-1}^1 \frac{dy}{|y|} C\left(\frac{x}{y},\frac{\mu}{P_3}\right) q(y,\mu) + \mathcal{O}\left(\Lambda_{\rm QCD}^2/P_3^2,M_N^2/P_3^2\right) \\ \text{quasi-PDF} & \text{pert.kernel} \quad \text{PDF} & \text{higher-twist effects} \end{split}$$

Krzysztof Cichy

Computing x-dependent PDFs on the lattice – EINN 2019 – Paphos – 8 / 39



## Quasi-PDFs



$$\tilde{q}(x,\mu^2,P_3) = \int \frac{dz}{4\pi} e^{ixP_3 z} \langle N | \overline{\psi}(z) \Gamma \mathcal{A}(z,0) \psi(0) | N \rangle$$

• quasi distribution  $\tilde{q}$  – probes purely spatial correlations and uses nucleons with finite momentum.



## Quasi-PDFs



$$\tilde{q}(x,\mu^2,P_3) = \int \frac{dz}{4\pi} e^{ixP_3z} \langle N|\overline{\psi}(z)\Gamma\mathcal{A}(z,0)\psi(0)|N\rangle$$

- quasi distribution  $\tilde{q}$  probes purely spatial correlations and uses nucleons with finite momentum.
- The Dirac matrix  $\Gamma$  gives access to different kinds of PDFs:
  - $\star \quad \Gamma = \gamma_0, \ \gamma_3 \text{unpolarized},$
  - $\star$   $\Gamma=\gamma_5\gamma_3$  helicity,
  - $\star \quad \Gamma = \sigma_{31}, \ \sigma_{32} \text{transversity}$



## Quasi-PDFs



$$\tilde{q}(x,\mu^2,P_3) = \int \frac{dz}{4\pi} e^{ixP_3z} \langle N|\overline{\psi}(z)\Gamma\mathcal{A}(z,0)\psi(0)|N\rangle$$

- quasi distribution  $\tilde{q}$  probes purely spatial correlations and uses nucleons with finite momentum.
- The Dirac matrix  $\Gamma$  gives access to different kinds of PDFs:
  - $\star \quad \Gamma = \gamma_0, \ \gamma_3 \text{unpolarized},$
  - $\star$   $\Gamma=\gamma_5\gamma_3$  helicity,
  - $\star \quad \Gamma = \sigma_{31}, \ \sigma_{32} {\rm transversity}$
- On the lattice, one needs to compute 2-pt and 3-pt functions:



Krzysztof Cichy

Computing x-dependent PDFs on the lattice – EINN 2019 – Paphos – 9 / 39



## Pseudo-PDFs



The same matrix elements that are the basis for the quasi-distribution approach can also be used to define pseudo-distributions. [A. Radyushkin, Phys. Rev. D96 (2017) 034025]



## Pseudo-PDFs



The same matrix elements that are the basis for the quasi-distribution approach can also be used to define pseudo-distributions. [A. Radyushkin, Phys. Rev. D96 (2017) 034025]

• Difference w.r.t. quasi: Fourier transform taken in  $\nu \equiv P_3 z$  ("loffe time"), instead of in z.



## Pseudo-PDFs



The same matrix elements that are the basis for the quasi-distribution approach can also be used to define pseudo-distributions. [A. Radyushkin, Phys. Rev. D96 (2017) 034025]

- Difference w.r.t. quasi: Fourier transform taken in  $\nu \equiv P_3 z$  ("loffe time"), instead of in z.
- This has far-reaching consequences and makes this approach inequivalent to quasi.




The same matrix elements that are the basis for the quasi-distribution approach can also be used to define pseudo-distributions. [A. Radyushkin, Phys. Rev. D96 (2017) 034025]

- Difference w.r.t. quasi: Fourier transform taken in  $\nu \equiv P_3 z$  ("loffe time"), instead of in z.
- This has far-reaching consequences and makes this approach inequivalent to quasi.
- Renormalization of divergences by taking suitable ratios at  $P_3 = 0$  and z = 0.





The same matrix elements that are the basis for the quasi-distribution approach can also be used to define pseudo-distributions. [A. Radyushkin, Phys. Rev. D96 (2017) 034025]

- Difference w.r.t. quasi: Fourier transform taken in  $\nu \equiv P_3 z$  ("loffe time"), instead of in z.
- This has far-reaching consequences and makes this approach inequivalent to quasi.
- Renormalization of divergences by taking suitable ratios at  $P_3 = 0$  and z = 0.
- Factorization does **not** require large momentum (hence, **not** LaMET).





The same matrix elements that are the basis for the quasi-distribution approach can also be used to define pseudo-distributions. [A. Radyushkin, Phys. Rev. D96 (2017) 034025]

- Difference w.r.t. quasi: Fourier transform taken in  $\nu \equiv P_3 z$  ("loffe time"), instead of in z.
- This has far-reaching consequences and makes this approach inequivalent to quasi.
- Renormalization of divergences by taking suitable ratios at  $P_3 = 0$  and z = 0.
- Factorization does **not** require large momentum (hence, **not** LaMET).
- Pseudo-ITD can be matched to light-cone MS ITD the latter is a physical object. [A. Radyushkin, Phys. Rev. D98 (2018) 014019]
  [J.-H. Zhang, J.-W. Chen, C. Monahan, Phys. Rev. D97 (2018) 074508]
  [T. Izubuchi et al., Phys. Rev. D98 (2018) 056004]





The same matrix elements that are the basis for the quasi-distribution approach can also be used to define pseudo-distributions. [A. Radyushkin, Phys. Rev. D96 (2017) 034025]

- Difference w.r.t. quasi: Fourier transform taken in  $\nu \equiv P_3 z$  ("loffe time"), instead of in z.
- This has far-reaching consequences and makes this approach inequivalent to quasi.
- Renormalization of divergences by taking suitable ratios at  $P_3 = 0$  and z = 0.
- Factorization does **not** require large momentum (hence, **not** LaMET).
- Pseudo-ITD can be matched to light-cone MS ITD the latter is a physical object. [A. Radyushkin, Phys. Rev. D98 (2018) 014019]
  [J.-H. Zhang, J.-W. Chen, C. Monahan, Phys. Rev. D97 (2018) 074508]
  [T. Izubuchi et al., Phys. Rev. D98 (2018) 056004]
- $\overline{MS}$  ITD can be Fourier-transformed to obtain  $\overline{MS}$  PDF (here one needs large loffe times and hence, in practice, large momentum).

See talks by D. Richards, S. Zafeiropoulos

Krzysztof Cichy

Computing x-dependent PDFs on the lattice – EINN 2019 – Paphos – 10 / 39





Outline of the talk

Quasi-PDFs

PDFs

Approaches

Quasi-PDFs

 $\mathsf{Pseudo}\text{-}\mathsf{PDFs}$ 

#### Good LCSs

Procedure

Results

Summary

Y.-Q. Ma and J.-W. Qiu proposed to extract PDFs from a global fit of lattice data, in full analogy to phenomenological fits of experimental data.
 [Y.-Q. Ma, J.-W. Qiu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 120 (2018) 022003]
 [Y.-Q. Ma, J.-W. Qiu, Phys. Rev. D98 (2018) 074021]





Outline of the talk

Quasi-PDFs

PDFs

Approaches

Quasi-PDFs

 $\mathsf{Pseudo}\text{-}\mathsf{PDFs}$ 

#### Good LCSs

Procedure

Results

Summary

- Y.-Q. Ma and J.-W. Qiu proposed to extract PDFs from a global fit of lattice data, in full analogy to phenomenological fits of experimental data.
   [Y.-Q. Ma, J.-W. Qiu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 120 (2018) 022003]
   [Y.-Q. Ma, J.-W. Qiu, Phys. Rev. D98 (2018) 074021]
- The analogy makes it natural to call lattice data lattice cross sections (LCSs).





Outline of the talk

Quasi-PDFs

PDFs

Approaches

Quasi-PDFs

Pseudo-PDFs

Good LCSs

Procedure

Results

Summary

- Y.-Q. Ma and J.-W. Qiu proposed to extract PDFs from a global fit of lattice data, in full analogy to phenomenological fits of experimental data.
   [Y.-Q. Ma, J.-W. Qiu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 120 (2018) 022003]
   [Y.-Q. Ma, J.-W. Qiu, Phys. Rev. D98 (2018) 074021]
- The analogy makes it natural to call lattice data lattice cross sections (LCSs).
- The LCSs are good if they are:





Outline of the talk

Quasi-PDFs

PDFs

Approaches

Quasi-PDFs

Pseudo-PDFs

#### Good LCSs

Procedure

Results

- Y.-Q. Ma and J.-W. Qiu proposed to extract PDFs from a global fit of lattice data, in full analogy to phenomenological fits of experimental data.
   [Y.-Q. Ma, J.-W. Qiu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 120 (2018) 022003]
   [Y.-Q. Ma, J.-W. Qiu, Phys. Rev. D98 (2018) 074021]
- The analogy makes it natural to call lattice data lattice cross sections (LCSs).
- The LCSs are good if they are:
  - $\star$  computable on the lattice,





Outline of the talk

Quasi-PDFs

PDFs

Approaches

Quasi-PDFs

Pseudo-PDFs

#### Good LCSs

Procedure

Results

- Y.-Q. Ma and J.-W. Qiu proposed to extract PDFs from a global fit of lattice data, in full analogy to phenomenological fits of experimental data.
   [Y.-Q. Ma, J.-W. Qiu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 120 (2018) 022003]
   [Y.-Q. Ma, J.-W. Qiu, Phys. Rev. D98 (2018) 074021]
- The analogy makes it natural to call lattice data lattice cross sections (LCSs).
- The LCSs are good if they are:
  - $\star$  computable on the lattice,
  - \* have a well-defined continuum limit (renormalizable),





Outline of the talk

Quasi-PDFs

PDFs

Approaches

Quasi-PDFs

Pseudo-PDFs

#### Good LCSs

Procedure

Results

- Y.-Q. Ma and J.-W. Qiu proposed to extract PDFs from a global fit of lattice data, in full analogy to phenomenological fits of experimental data.
   [Y.-Q. Ma, J.-W. Qiu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 120 (2018) 022003]
   [Y.-Q. Ma, J.-W. Qiu, Phys. Rev. D98 (2018) 074021]
- The analogy makes it natural to call lattice data lattice cross sections (LCSs).
- The LCSs are good if they are:
  - $\star$  computable on the lattice,
  - \* have a well-defined continuum limit (renormalizable),
  - $\star$  are perturbatively factorizable into PDFs.





Outline of the talk

Quasi-PDFs

PDFs

Approaches

Quasi-PDFs

Pseudo-PDFs

#### Good LCSs

Procedure

Results

- Y.-Q. Ma and J.-W. Qiu proposed to extract PDFs from a global fit of lattice data, in full analogy to phenomenological fits of experimental data.
   [Y.-Q. Ma, J.-W. Qiu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 120 (2018) 022003]
   [Y.-Q. Ma, J.-W. Qiu, Phys. Rev. D98 (2018) 074021]
- The analogy makes it natural to call lattice data lattice cross sections (LCSs).
- The LCSs are good if they are:
  - $\star$  computable on the lattice,
  - \* have a well-defined continuum limit (renormalizable),
  - \* are perturbatively factorizable into PDFs.
- Examples of good LCSs:





Outline of the talk

Quasi-PDFs

PDFs

Approaches

Quasi-PDFs

Pseudo-PDFs

#### Good LCSs

Procedure

Results

- Y.-Q. Ma and J.-W. Qiu proposed to extract PDFs from a global fit of lattice data, in full analogy to phenomenological fits of experimental data.
   [Y.-Q. Ma, J.-W. Qiu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 120 (2018) 022003]
   [Y.-Q. Ma, J.-W. Qiu, Phys. Rev. D98 (2018) 074021]
- The analogy makes it natural to call lattice data lattice cross sections (LCSs).
- The LCSs are good if they are:
  - $\star$  computable on the lattice,
  - \* have a well-defined continuum limit (renormalizable),
  - $\star$  are perturbatively factorizable into PDFs.
- Examples of good LCSs: quasi-PDFs, pseudo-PDFs, "OPE without OPE"





Outline of the talk

Quasi-PDFs

PDFs

Approaches

Quasi-PDFs

Pseudo-PDFs

Good LCSs

Procedure

Results

Summary

- Y.-Q. Ma and J.-W. Qiu proposed to extract PDFs from a global fit of lattice data, in full analogy to phenomenological fits of experimental data.
   [Y.-Q. Ma, J.-W. Qiu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 120 (2018) 022003]
   [Y.-Q. Ma, J.-W. Qiu, Phys. Rev. D98 (2018) 074021]
- The analogy makes it natural to call lattice data lattice cross sections (LCSs).
- The LCSs are good if they are:
  - $\star$  computable on the lattice,
  - \* have a well-defined continuum limit (renormalizable),
  - $\star$  are perturbatively factorizable into PDFs.
- Examples of good LCSs: quasi-PDFs, pseudo-PDFs, "OPE without OPE"
- Another class: current-current correlators related idea in [V. Braun and D. Müller, EPJC 55 (2008) 349]  $\sigma_n(\omega, \xi^2, P^2) = \langle P | T \{ \mathcal{O}_n(\xi) \} | P \rangle; \qquad \omega = P \cdot \xi$

with (as one possibility):

$$\mathcal{O}_{j_1 j_2}(\xi) = \xi^{d_{j_1} + d_{j_2} - 2} Z_{j_1} Z_{j_2} j_1(\xi) j_2(0).$$

See talks by J. Qiu, D. Richards



## **Quasi-PDFs** procedure



The procedure to obtain light-cone PDFs from the lattice computation can be summarized as follows:

Outline of the talk

Quasi-PDFs

PDFs

Approaches

Quasi-PDFs

 ${\sf Pseudo-PDFs}$ 

Good LCSs

Procedure

Results

Summary



## **Quasi-PDFs** procedure

La contraction of the second s

The procedure to obtain light-cone PDFs from the lattice computation can be summarized as follows:

1. Compute bare matrix elements:  $\langle N | \overline{\psi}(z) \Gamma \mathcal{A}(z,0) \psi(0) | N 
angle$  .

Outline of the talk

Quasi-PDFs

PDFs

Approaches

Quasi-PDFs

 $\mathsf{Pseudo}\text{-}\mathsf{PDFs}$ 

Good LCSs

Procedure

Results

Summary



Quasi-PDFs

Approaches

Quasi-PDFs

Pseudo-PDFs Good LCSs Procedure

**PDFs** 

Results

Summary

# **Quasi-PDFs** procedure

definition of the second secon

The procedure to obtain light-cone PDFs from the lattice computation can be summarized as follows:

- 1. Compute bare matrix elements:  $\langle N | \overline{\psi}(z) \Gamma \mathcal{A}(z,0) \psi(0) | N 
  angle$  .
- 2. Compute renormalization functions in an intermediate lattice scheme (here: RI'-MOM):  $Z^{\text{RI}'}(z, \mu)$ .



Quasi-PDFs

Approaches

Quasi-PDFs

Procedure

Results

Summary

Pseudo-PDFs Good LCSs

**PDFs** 

## **Quasi-PDFs** procedure



The procedure to obtain light-cone PDFs from the lattice computation can be summarized as follows:

- 1. Compute bare matrix elements:  $\langle N | \overline{\psi}(z) \Gamma \mathcal{A}(z,0) \psi(0) | N 
  angle$  .
- 2. Compute renormalization functions in an intermediate lattice scheme (here: RI'-MOM):  $Z^{\text{RI}'}(z,\mu)$ .
- 3. Perturbatively convert the renormalization functions to the scheme needed for matching (here  $M\overline{MS}$ ) and evolve to a reference scale:  $Z^{\text{RI}'}(z,\mu) \rightarrow Z^{M\overline{MS}}(z,\overline{\mu})$ .



## **Quasi-PDFs** procedure



The procedure to obtain light-cone PDFs from the lattice computation can be summarized as follows:

- 1. Compute bare matrix elements:  $\langle N | \overline{\psi}(z) \Gamma \mathcal{A}(z,0) \psi(0) | N 
  angle$  .
- 2. Compute renormalization functions in an intermediate lattice scheme (here: RI'-MOM):  $Z^{\text{RI}'}(z,\mu)$ .
- 3. Perturbatively convert the renormalization functions to the scheme needed for matching (here  $M\overline{MS}$ ) and evolve to a reference scale:  $Z^{\mathrm{RI}'}(z,\mu) \to Z^{M\overline{\mathrm{MS}}}(z,\bar{\mu})$ .
- 4. Apply the renormalization functions to the bare matrix elements, obtaining renormalized matrix elements in the  $M\overline{MS}$  scheme.

- PDFs
- Approaches
- Quasi-PDFs
- Pseudo-PDFs
- Good LCSs
- Procedure
- Results
- Summary



Quasi-PDFs

Approaches

Quasi-PDFs

Procedure

Results

Summary

Pseudo-PDFs Good LCSs

**PDFs** 

### **Quasi-PDFs** procedure



The procedure to obtain light-cone PDFs from the lattice computation can be summarized as follows:

- 1. Compute bare matrix elements:  $\langle N | \overline{\psi}(z) \Gamma \mathcal{A}(z,0) \psi(0) | N 
  angle$  .
- 2. Compute renormalization functions in an intermediate lattice scheme (here: RI'-MOM):  $Z^{\text{RI}'}(z,\mu)$ .
- 3. Perturbatively convert the renormalization functions to the scheme needed for matching (here  $\overline{MMS}$ ) and evolve to a reference scale:  $Z^{RI'}(z,\mu) \rightarrow Z^{M\overline{MS}}(z,\overline{\mu})$ .
- 4. Apply the renormalization functions to the bare matrix elements, obtaining renormalized matrix elements in the  $M\overline{MS}$  scheme.
- 5. Calculate the Fourier transform, obtaining quasi-PDFs:

 $\tilde{q}^{\mathrm{M}\overline{\mathrm{MS}}}(x,\bar{\mu},P_3) = \int \frac{dz}{4\pi} e^{ixP_3 z} \langle N | \overline{\psi}(z) \Gamma \mathcal{A}(z,0) \psi(0) | N \rangle^{\mathrm{M}\overline{\mathrm{MS}}}.$ 



Quasi-PDFs

Approaches

Quasi-PDFs

Procedure

Results

Summary

Pseudo-PDFs Good LCSs

**PDFs** 

### **Quasi-PDFs** procedure



The procedure to obtain light-cone PDFs from the lattice computation can be summarized as follows:

- 1. Compute bare matrix elements:  $\langle N | \overline{\psi}(z) \Gamma \mathcal{A}(z,0) \psi(0) | N 
  angle$  .
- 2. Compute renormalization functions in an intermediate lattice scheme (here: RI'-MOM):  $Z^{\text{RI}'}(z,\mu)$ .
- 3. Perturbatively convert the renormalization functions to the scheme needed for matching (here  $\overline{MMS}$ ) and evolve to a reference scale:  $Z^{RI'}(z,\mu) \rightarrow Z^{M\overline{MS}}(z,\overline{\mu})$ .
- 4. Apply the renormalization functions to the bare matrix elements, obtaining renormalized matrix elements in the  $M\overline{MS}$  scheme.
- 5. Calculate the Fourier transform, obtaining quasi-PDFs:

$$\tilde{q}^{\mathrm{M}\overline{\mathrm{M}\mathrm{S}}}(x,\bar{\mu},P_3) = \int \frac{dz}{4\pi} e^{ixP_3z} \langle N|\overline{\psi}(z)\Gamma\mathcal{A}(z,0)\psi(0)|N\rangle^{\mathrm{M}\overline{\mathrm{M}\mathrm{S}}}.$$

6. Relate  $\overline{\text{MMS}}$  quasi-PDFs to  $\overline{\text{MS}}$  light-cone PDFs via a matching procedure:  $\tilde{q}^{\overline{\text{MMS}}}(x, \bar{\mu}, P_3) \rightarrow q^{\overline{\text{MS}}}(x, \bar{\mu})$ .



Quasi-PDFs

Approaches

Quasi-PDFs

Procedure

Results

Summary

Pseudo-PDFs Good LCSs

**PDFs** 

## **Quasi-PDFs** procedure



The procedure to obtain light-cone PDFs from the lattice computation can be summarized as follows:

- 1. Compute bare matrix elements:  $\langle N | \overline{\psi}(z) \Gamma \mathcal{A}(z,0) \psi(0) | N 
  angle$  .
- 2. Compute renormalization functions in an intermediate lattice scheme (here: RI'-MOM):  $Z^{\text{RI}'}(z,\mu)$ .
- 3. Perturbatively convert the renormalization functions to the scheme needed for matching (here  $\overline{MMS}$ ) and evolve to a reference scale:  $Z^{RI'}(z,\mu) \rightarrow Z^{M\overline{MS}}(z,\overline{\mu})$ .
- 4. Apply the renormalization functions to the bare matrix elements, obtaining renormalized matrix elements in the  $M\overline{MS}$  scheme.
- 5. Calculate the Fourier transform, obtaining quasi-PDFs:

$$\tilde{q}^{\mathrm{M}\overline{\mathrm{M}\mathrm{S}}}(x,\bar{\mu},P_3) = \int \frac{dz}{4\pi} e^{ixP_3z} \langle N|\overline{\psi}(z)\Gamma\mathcal{A}(z,0)\psi(0)|N\rangle^{\mathrm{M}\overline{\mathrm{M}\mathrm{S}}}.$$

- 6. Relate  $\overline{\text{MMS}}$  quasi-PDFs to  $\overline{\text{MS}}$  light-cone PDFs via a matching procedure:  $\tilde{q}^{\overline{\text{MMS}}}(x, \bar{\mu}, P_3) \rightarrow q^{\overline{\text{MS}}}(x, \bar{\mu})$ .
- 7. Apply nucleon mass corr. to eliminate residual  $m_N^2/P_3^2$  effects.



#### Lattice setup



Collaboratio

- Outline of the talk
- Quasi-PDFs
- Results
- Lattice setup
- Bare ME Renorm ME
- Matching
- Systematics
- Quasi-GPDs
- Lattice and pheno
- Summary

- fermions:  $N_f = 2$  twisted mass fermions + clover term
- gluons: Iwasaki gauge action, eta=2.1
- gauge field configurations generated by ETMC



	$P_3 = \frac{6\pi}{L}$		$P_3 = \frac{8\pi}{L}$		$P_3 = \frac{10\pi}{L}$	
Insertion	$N_{\rm conf}$	$N_{\rm meas}$	$N_{\rm conf}$	$N_{\rm meas}$	$N_{\rm conf}$	$N_{\rm meas}$
$\gamma^0$	50	4800	425	38250	811	72990
$\gamma^5\gamma^3$	65	6240	425	38250	811	72990
$\sigma^{3j}$	50	9600	425	38250	811	72990



Quasi-PDFs

Lattice setup

Renorm ME

Quasi-GPDs

Lattice and pheno

Results

Bare ME

Matching Systematics

Summary

# Step 1



The procedure to obtain light-cone PDFs from the lattice computation can be summarized as follows:

- 1. Compute bare matrix elements:  $\langle N | \overline{\psi}(z) \Gamma \mathcal{A}(z,0) \psi(0) | N \rangle$ .
- 2. Compute renormalization functions in an intermediate lattice scheme (here: RI'-MOM):  $Z^{\text{RI}'}(z, \mu)$ .
- 3. Perturbatively convert the renormalization functions to the scheme needed for matching (here  $\overline{MMS}$ ) and evolve to a reference scale:  $Z^{RI'}(z,\mu) \rightarrow Z^{M\overline{MS}}(z,\overline{\mu})$ .
- 4. Apply the renormalization functions to the bare matrix elements, obtaining renormalized matrix elements in the  $M\overline{MS}$  scheme.
- 5. Calculate the Fourier transform, obtaining quasi-PDFs:

$$\tilde{q}^{\mathrm{M}\overline{\mathrm{M}\mathrm{S}}}(x,\bar{\mu},P_3) = \int \frac{dz}{4\pi} e^{ixP_3 z} \langle N|\overline{\psi}(z)\Gamma\mathcal{A}(z,0)\psi(0)|N\rangle^{\mathrm{M}\overline{\mathrm{M}\mathrm{S}}}.$$

- 6. Relate  $\overline{\text{MMS}}$  quasi-PDFs to  $\overline{\text{MS}}$  light-cone PDFs via a matching procedure:  $\tilde{q}^{\overline{\text{MMS}}}(x, \bar{\mu}, P_3) \rightarrow q^{\overline{\text{MS}}}(x, \bar{\mu})$ .
- 7. Apply nucleon mass corr. to eliminate residual  $m_N^2/P_3^2$  effects.



Choice of nucleon momentum



What momentum should be used to obtain reliable light-cone PDFs?



Choice of nucleon momentum



What momentum should be used to obtain reliable light-cone PDFs? The answer is seemingly simple – large momentum, but:





#### What momentum should be used to obtain reliable light-cone PDFs? The answer is seemingly simple – large momentum, but:

• we have finite lattice spacing  $\rightarrow$  UV cut-off of  $\approx 2$  GeV.





- we have finite lattice spacing  $\rightarrow$  UV cut-off of  $\approx$  2 GeV.
- large momentum means it is very difficult to isolate the ground state  $\rightarrow$  excessive excited states contamination  $\rightarrow$  one needs to go to large enough source-sink separation  $t_s \Rightarrow \text{COSTLY}!$





- we have finite lattice spacing  $\rightarrow$  UV cut-off of  $\approx$  2 GeV.
- large momentum means it is very difficult to isolate the ground state  $\rightarrow$  excessive excited states contamination  $\rightarrow$  one needs to go to large enough source-sink separation  $t_s \Rightarrow \text{COSTLY}!$







- we have finite lattice spacing  $\rightarrow$  UV cut-off of  $\approx$  2 GeV.
- large momentum means it is very difficult to isolate the ground state  $\rightarrow$  excessive excited states contamination  $\rightarrow$  one needs to go to large enough source-sink separation  $t_s \Rightarrow \text{COSTLY}!$



• Robust statements about excited states only when checking a few analysis methods.





- we have finite lattice spacing  $\rightarrow$  UV cut-off of  $\approx$  2 GeV.
- large momentum means it is very difficult to isolate the ground state  $\rightarrow$  excessive excited states contamination  $\rightarrow$  one needs to go to large enough source-sink separation  $t_s \Rightarrow \text{COSTLY}!$



• Robust statements about excited states only when checking a few analysis methods. here: 2-state fit with  $t_s/a = 8, 9, 10, 12$  shows full consistency with the 1-state fit at  $t_s = 12a$ .





The answer is seemingly simple – **large** momentum, but:

- we have finite lattice spacing  $\rightarrow$  UV cut-off of  $\approx$  2 GeV.
- large momentum means it is very difficult to isolate the ground state  $\rightarrow$  excessive excited states contamination  $\rightarrow$  one needs to go to large enough source-sink separation  $t_s \Rightarrow \text{COSTLY}!$



• Robust statements about excited states only when checking a few analysis methods. here: 2-state fit with  $t_s/a = 8, 9, 10, 12$  shows full consistency with the 1-state fit at  $t_s = 12a$ .

Our largest momentum:  $\approx 1.4 \text{ GeV}$ 

- safely below UV cut-off,
- excited states contamination shown to be smaller than statistical errors.



#### Bare matrix elements at $t_s = 12a$





Krzysztof Cichy

Computing x-dependent PDFs on the lattice – EINN 2019 – Paphos – 16 / 39



Quasi-PDFs

Lattice setup

Results

Bare ME Renorm ME

Matching Systematics

Summary

Quasi-GPDs

Lattice and pheno

# Steps 2-4



The procedure to obtain light-cone PDFs from the lattice computation can be summarized as follows:

- 1. Compute bare matrix elements:  $\langle N | \overline{\psi}(z) \Gamma \mathcal{A}(z,0) \psi(0) | N \rangle$ .
- 2. Compute renormalization functions in an intermediate lattice scheme (here: RI'-MOM):  $Z^{\text{RI'}}(z,\mu)$ .
- 3. Perturbatively convert the renormalization functions to the scheme needed for matching (here  $\overline{MMS}$ ) and evolve to a reference scale:  $Z^{RI'}(z,\mu) \rightarrow Z^{M\overline{MS}}(z,\overline{\mu})$ .
- 4. Apply the renormalization functions to the bare matrix elements, obtaining renormalized matrix elements in the  $\overline{MMS}$  scheme.
- 5. Calculate the Fourier transform, obtaining quasi-PDFs:

$$\tilde{q}^{\mathrm{M}\overline{\mathrm{M}\mathrm{S}}}(x,\bar{\mu},P_3) = \int \frac{dz}{4\pi} e^{ixP_3 z} \langle N|\overline{\psi}(z)\Gamma\mathcal{A}(z,0)\psi(0)|N\rangle^{\mathrm{M}\overline{\mathrm{M}\mathrm{S}}}.$$

- 6. Relate  $\overline{\text{MMS}}$  quasi-PDFs to  $\overline{\text{MS}}$  light-cone PDFs via a matching procedure:  $\tilde{q}^{\overline{\text{MMS}}}(x, \bar{\mu}, P_3) \rightarrow q^{\overline{\text{MS}}}(x, \bar{\mu})$ .
- 7. Apply nucleon mass corr. to eliminate residual  $m_N^2/P_3^2$  effects.



#### Renormalization



#### Historical remarks:

Outline of the talk

Quasi-PDFs

Results

Lattice setup

Bare ME

#### Renorm ME

Matching

Systematics

Quasi-GPDs

Lattice and pheno

Summary



#### Renormalization



Historical remarks:

- 1. it was very important to clarify the issue of renormalizability of the quasi-PDFs:
  - T. Ishikawa, Y.-Q. Ma, J.-W. Qiu, S. Yoshida, Phys. Rev. D96 (2017) 094019
  - X. Ji, J.-H. Zhang, Y. Zhao, Phys. Rev. Lett. 120 (2018) 112001

Outline of the talk

Quasi-PDFs

Results

Lattice setup

Bare ME

#### Renorm ME

Matching

Systematics

Quasi-GPDs

Lattice and pheno

Summary



#### Renormalization



Historical remarks:

- 1. it was very important to clarify the issue of renormalizability of the quasi-PDFs:
  - T. Ishikawa, Y.-Q. Ma, J.-W. Qiu, S. Yoshida, Phys. Rev. D96 (2017) 094019
  - X. Ji, J.-H. Zhang, Y. Zhao, Phys. Rev. Lett. 120 (2018) 112001
- and also to find practical renormalization prescription: RI-MOM-type scheme C. Alexandrou et al., Nucl. Phys. B923 (2017) 394 (Frontiers Article) auxiliary field scheme J. Green, K. Jansen, F. Steffens, Phys. Rev. Lett. 121 (2018) 022004

Outline of the talk

Quasi-PDFs

Results

Lattice setup

Bare ME

Renorm ME

Matching

Systematics

Quasi-GPDs

Lattice and pheno

Summary


### Renormalization



Historical remarks:

- 1. it was very important to clarify the issue of renormalizability of the quasi-PDFs:
  - T. Ishikawa, Y.-Q. Ma, J.-W. Qiu, S. Yoshida, Phys. Rev. D96 (2017) 094019
  - X. Ji, J.-H. Zhang, Y. Zhao, Phys. Rev. Lett. 120 (2018) 112001
- and also to find practical renormalization prescription: RI-MOM-type scheme C. Alexandrou et al., Nucl. Phys. B923 (2017) 394 (Frontiers Article) auxiliary field scheme J. Green, K. Jansen, F. Steffens, Phys. Rev. Lett. 121 (2018) 022004
- 3. important insights also from lattice perturbation theory: mixing for certain Dirac structures  $\rightarrow$  led to abandoning  $\Gamma = \gamma_3$  for unpolarized PDFs, in favor of  $\Gamma = \gamma_0$  M. Constantinou, H. Panagopoulos, Phys. Rev. D96 (2017) 054506

Outline of the talk

Quasi-PDFs

Results

Lattice setup

Bare ME

Renorm ME

Matching

**Systematics** 

Quasi-GPDs

Lattice and pheno

Summary

Krzysztof Cichy

Computing x-dependent PDFs on the lattice – EINN 2019 – Paphos – 18 / 39



Quasi-PDFs

Lattice setup

Results

Bare ME Renorm ME

Matching

Summary

**Systematics** 

Quasi-GPDs

Lattice and pheno

### Renormalization



Historical remarks:

- 1. it was very important to clarify the issue of renormalizability of the quasi-PDFs:
  - T. Ishikawa, Y.-Q. Ma, J.-W. Qiu, S. Yoshida, Phys. Rev. D96 (2017) 094019
  - X. Ji, J.-H. Zhang, Y. Zhao, Phys. Rev. Lett. 120 (2018) 112001
- and also to find practical renormalization prescription: RI-MOM-type scheme C. Alexandrou et al., Nucl. Phys. B923 (2017) 394 (Frontiers Article) auxiliary field scheme J. Green, K. Jansen, F. Steffens, Phys. Rev. Lett. 121 (2018) 022004
- 3. important insights also from lattice perturbation theory: mixing for certain Dirac structures  $\rightarrow$  led to abandoning  $\Gamma = \gamma_3$  for unpolarized PDFs, in favor of  $\Gamma = \gamma_0$  M. Constantinou, H. Panagopoulos, Phys. Rev. D96 (2017) 054506

Two types of divergences that need to be removed:



Quasi-PDFs

Lattice setup

Results

Bare ME Renorm ME

Matching

Summary

**Systematics** 

Quasi-GPDs

Lattice and pheno

### Renormalization



Historical remarks:

- 1. it was very important to clarify the issue of renormalizability of the quasi-PDFs:
  - T. Ishikawa, Y.-Q. Ma, J.-W. Qiu, S. Yoshida, Phys. Rev. D96 (2017) 094019
  - X. Ji, J.-H. Zhang, Y. Zhao, Phys. Rev. Lett. 120 (2018) 112001
- and also to find practical renormalization prescription: RI-MOM-type scheme C. Alexandrou et al., Nucl. Phys. B923 (2017) 394 (Frontiers Article) auxiliary field scheme J. Green, K. Jansen, F. Steffens, Phys. Rev. Lett. 121 (2018) 022004
- 3. important insights also from lattice perturbation theory: mixing for certain Dirac structures  $\rightarrow$  led to abandoning  $\Gamma = \gamma_3$  for unpolarized PDFs, in favor of  $\Gamma = \gamma_0$  M. Constantinou, H. Panagopoulos, Phys. Rev. D96 (2017) 054506

Two types of divergences that need to be removed:

• standard logarithmic divergence w.r.t. the regulator,  $log(a\mu)$ ,



### Renormalization



Historical remarks:

- 1. it was very important to clarify the issue of renormalizability of the quasi-PDFs:
  - T. Ishikawa, Y.-Q. Ma, J.-W. Qiu, S. Yoshida, Phys. Rev. D96 (2017) 094019
  - X. Ji, J.-H. Zhang, Y. Zhao, Phys. Rev. Lett. 120 (2018) 112001
- and also to find practical renormalization prescription: RI-MOM-type scheme C. Alexandrou et al., Nucl. Phys. B923 (2017) 394 (Frontiers Article) auxiliary field scheme J. Green, K. Jansen, F. Steffens, Phys. Rev. Lett. 121 (2018) 022004
- 3. important insights also from lattice perturbation theory: mixing for certain Dirac structures  $\rightarrow$  led to abandoning  $\Gamma = \gamma_3$  for unpolarized PDFs, in favor of  $\Gamma = \gamma_0$  M. Constantinou, H. Panagopoulos, Phys. Rev. D96 (2017) 054506

Two types of divergences that need to be removed:

- standard logarithmic divergence w.r.t. the regulator,  $log(a\mu)$ ,
- power divergence related to the Wilson line; resums into a multiplicative exponential factor,  $\exp\left(-\delta m|z|/a+c|z|\right)$ 
  - $\delta m$  strength of the divergence, operator independent,
  - c arbitrary scale (fixed by the renormalization prescription).

Bare ME Renorm ME

Matching

Quasi-PDFs

Lattice setup

Results

Systematics

Quasi-GPDs

Lattice and pheno

Summary



### Renormalized matrix elements for helicity PDFs





Nucleon momentum  $\frac{6\pi}{48}$ 

Krzysztof Cichy

Computing x-dependent PDFs on the lattice – EINN 2019 – Paphos – 19 / 39



## Renormalized matrix elements for helicity PDFs





Important self-consistency check for the renormalization procedure!

Krzysztof Cichy

Computing x-dependent PDFs on the lattice – EINN 2019 – Paphos – 19 / 39



Quasi-PDFs

Lattice setup

Results

Bare ME Renorm ME

Matching Systematics

Summary

Quasi-GPDs

Lattice and pheno

# Step 5



The procedure to obtain light-cone PDFs from the lattice computation can be summarized as follows:

- 1. Compute bare matrix elements:  $\langle N | \overline{\psi}(z) \Gamma \mathcal{A}(z,0) \psi(0) | N \rangle$ .
- 2. Compute renormalization functions in an intermediate lattice scheme (here: RI'-MOM):  $Z^{\text{RI'}}(z, \mu)$ .
- 3. Perturbatively convert the renormalization functions to the scheme needed for matching (here  $\overline{MMS}$ ) and evolve to a reference scale:  $Z^{RI'}(z,\mu) \rightarrow Z^{M\overline{MS}}(z,\overline{\mu})$ .
- 4. Apply the renormalization functions to the bare matrix elements, obtaining renormalized matrix elements in the  $M\overline{MS}$  scheme.
- 5. Calculate the Fourier transform, obtaining quasi-PDFs:

 $\tilde{q}^{\mathrm{M}\overline{\mathrm{M}\mathrm{S}}}(x,\bar{\mu},P_3) = \int \frac{dz}{4\pi} e^{ixP_3 z} \langle N|\overline{\psi}(z)\Gamma\mathcal{A}(z,0)\psi(0)|N\rangle^{\mathrm{M}\overline{\mathrm{M}\mathrm{S}}}.$ 

- 6. Relate  $\overline{MMS}$  quasi-PDFs to  $\overline{MS}$  light-cone PDFs via a matching procedure:  $\tilde{q}^{\overline{MMS}}(x, \bar{\mu}, P_3) \rightarrow q^{\overline{MS}}(x, \bar{\mu})$ .
- 7. Apply nucleon mass corr. to eliminate residual  $m_N^2/P_3^2$  effects.



### Fourier transform



Nucleon momentum  $\frac{10\pi}{48}$ ,  $Q^2 = 4 \text{ GeV}^2$ 



C. Alexandrou et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 121 (2018) 112001

Krzysztof Cichy

Computing x-dependent PDFs on the lattice – EINN 2019 – Paphos – 21 / 39



#### Fourier transform





C. Alexandrou et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 121 (2018) 112001

Krzysztof Cichy

Computing x-dependent PDFs on the lattice – EINN 2019 – Paphos – 21 / 39



### Quasi-PDFs + pheno





C. Alexandrou et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 121 (2018) 112001

Krzysztof Cichy

Computing x-dependent PDFs on the lattice – EINN 2019 – Paphos – 22 / 39



### Quasi-PDFs + pheno





C. Alexandrou et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 121 (2018) 112001

Krzysztof Cichy

Computing x-dependent PDFs on the lattice – EINN 2019 – Paphos – 22 / 39



Quasi-PDFs

Lattice setup

Results

Bare ME Renorm ME

Matching Systematics

Summary

Quasi-GPDs

Lattice and pheno

# Step 6



The procedure to obtain light-cone PDFs from the lattice computation can be summarized as follows:

- 1. Compute bare matrix elements:  $\langle N | \overline{\psi}(z) \Gamma \mathcal{A}(z,0) \psi(0) | N \rangle$ .
- 2. Compute renormalization functions in an intermediate lattice scheme (here: RI'-MOM):  $Z^{\text{RI}'}(z, \mu)$ .
- 3. Perturbatively convert the renormalization functions to the scheme needed for matching (here  $M\overline{MS}$ ) and evolve to a reference scale:  $Z^{RI'}(z,\mu) \rightarrow Z^{M\overline{MS}}(z,\bar{\mu})$ .
- 4. Apply the renormalization functions to the bare matrix elements, obtaining renormalized matrix elements in the  $M\overline{MS}$  scheme.
- 5. Calculate the Fourier transform, obtaining quasi-PDFs:

$$\tilde{q}^{\mathrm{M}\overline{\mathrm{M}\mathrm{S}}}(x,\bar{\mu},P_3) = \int \frac{dz}{4\pi} e^{ixP_3 z} \langle N|\overline{\psi}(z)\Gamma\mathcal{A}(z,0)\psi(0)|N\rangle^{\mathrm{M}\overline{\mathrm{M}\mathrm{S}}}.$$

- 6. Relate  $\overline{\text{MMS}}$  quasi-PDFs to  $\overline{\text{MS}}$  light-cone PDFs via a matching procedure:  $\tilde{q}^{\overline{\text{MMS}}}(x, \bar{\mu}, P_3) \rightarrow q^{\overline{\text{MS}}}(x, \bar{\mu})$ .
- 7. Apply nucleon mass corr. to eliminate residual  $m_N^2/P_3^2$  effects.





Matching is the essence of LaMET and was subject to important developments over the years:

- transverse momentum cut-off scheme PDFs [X. Xiong et al., Phys. Rev. D90 (2014) 014051]
- same for unpolarized and helicity GPDs [X. Ji et al., Phys. Rev. D92 (2015) 014039]
- same for transversity GPDs [X. Xiong, J. Zhang, Phys. Rev. D92 (2015) 054037]
- $\overline{\text{MS}} \rightarrow \overline{\text{MS}}$ , non-singlet and singlet PDFs [W. Wang, S. Zhao, R. Zhu, EPJC 78 (2018) 147]
- RI  $\rightarrow \overline{\text{MS}}$ , unpolarized PDFs ( $\gamma_3$ ) [I.W. Stewart, Y. Zhao, Phys. Rev. D97 (2018) 054512]
- $\overline{MS} \rightarrow \overline{MS}$ , treatment of UV log divergence in wave function corrections (but: violates vector current conservation) [T. Izubuchi et al., Phys. Rev. D98 (2018) 056004]
- $M\overline{MS} \rightarrow \overline{MS}$ , treatment of UV log divergence in wave function corrections (preserves vector current conservation) [C. Alexandrou et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 121 (2018) 112001]
- ratio → MS, treatment of UV log divergence in wave function corrections (preserves vector current conservation) [T. Izubuchi et al., Phys. Rev. D98 (2018) 056004]
- $\mathsf{RI} \to \overline{\mathrm{MS}}$ , unpolarized PDFs ( $\gamma_0$ ) [Y.-S. Liu et al., arXiv:1807.06566]
- $RI \rightarrow \overline{MS}$ , transversity PDFs [Y.-S. Liu et al., arXiv:1810.05043]
- $RI \rightarrow \overline{MS}$ , non-singlet GPDs [Y.-S. Liu et al., Phys. Rev. D100 (2019) 034006]
- RI  $\rightarrow \overline{MS}$ , non-singlet and singlet PDFs [W. Wang et al., arXiv:1904.00978]



Matching to light-front PDFs



The matching formula can be expressed as:

$$q(x,\mu) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\xi}{|\xi|} C\left(\xi, \frac{\mu}{xP_3}\right) \tilde{q}\left(\frac{x}{\xi}, \mu, P_3\right)$$





$$q(x,\mu) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\xi}{|\xi|} C\left(\xi, \frac{\mu}{xP_3}\right) \tilde{q}\left(\frac{x}{\xi}, \mu, P_3\right)$$

C – matching kernel  $\overline{MMS} \rightarrow \overline{MS}$ : [C. Alexandrou et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 121 (2018) 112001]

$$\left[\frac{1+\xi^2}{1-\xi}\ln\frac{\xi}{\xi-1} + 1 + \frac{3}{2\xi}\right]_+ \qquad \xi > 1,$$

$$C\left(\xi,\frac{\xi\mu}{xP_{3}}\right) = \delta(1-\xi) + \frac{\alpha_{s}}{2\pi}C_{F} \begin{cases} \left[\frac{1+\xi^{2}}{1-\xi}\ln\frac{x^{2}P_{3}^{2}}{\xi^{2}\mu^{2}}\left(4\xi(1-\xi)\right) - \frac{\xi(1+\xi)}{1-\xi} + 2\iota(1-\xi)\right]_{+} & 0 < \xi < 1, \\ \left[-\frac{1+\xi^{2}}{1-\xi}\ln\frac{\xi}{\xi-1} - 1 + \frac{3}{2(1-\xi)}\right]_{+} & \xi < 0, \end{cases}$$

 $\iota = 0$  for  $\gamma_0$  and  $\iota = 1$  for  $\gamma_3 / \gamma_5 \gamma_3$ .





$$q(x,\mu) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\xi}{|\xi|} C\left(\xi, \frac{\mu}{xP_3}\right) \tilde{q}\left(\frac{x}{\xi}, \mu, P_3\right)$$

C – matching kernel  $\overline{MMS} \rightarrow \overline{MS}$ : [C. Alexandrou et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 121 (2018) 112001]

$$\left[\frac{1+\xi^2}{1-\xi}\ln\frac{\xi}{\xi-1} + 1 + \frac{3}{2\xi}\right]_+ \qquad \xi > 1,$$

$$C\left(\xi,\frac{\xi\mu}{xP_{3}}\right) = \delta(1-\xi) + \frac{\alpha_{s}}{2\pi}C_{F} \begin{cases} \left[\frac{1+\xi^{2}}{1-\xi}\ln\frac{x^{2}P_{3}^{2}}{\xi^{2}\mu^{2}}\left(4\xi(1-\xi)\right) - \frac{\xi(1+\xi)}{1-\xi} + 2\iota(1-\xi)\right]_{+} & 0 < \xi < 1, \\ \left[-\frac{1+\xi^{2}}{1-\xi}\ln\frac{\xi}{\xi-1} - 1 + \frac{3}{2(1-\xi)}\right]_{+} & \xi < 0, \end{cases}$$

 $\iota = 0$  for  $\gamma_0$  and  $\iota = 1$  for  $\gamma_3 / \gamma_5 \gamma_3$ .

• Additional subtractions with respect to  $\overline{\rm MS}$  – made outside the physical region of the unintegrated vertex corrections.





$$q(x,\mu) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\xi}{|\xi|} C\left(\xi, \frac{\mu}{xP_3}\right) \tilde{q}\left(\frac{x}{\xi}, \mu, P_3\right)$$

C – matching kernel  $\overline{MMS} \rightarrow \overline{MS}$ : [C. Alexandrou et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 121 (2018) 112001]

$$\left[\frac{1+\xi^2}{1-\xi}\ln\frac{\xi}{\xi-1} + 1 + \frac{3}{2\xi}\right]_+ \qquad \xi > 1,$$

$$C\left(\xi,\frac{\xi\mu}{xP_{3}}\right) = \delta(1-\xi) + \frac{\alpha_{s}}{2\pi}C_{F} \begin{cases} \left[\frac{1+\xi^{2}}{1-\xi}\ln\frac{x^{2}P_{3}^{2}}{\xi^{2}\mu^{2}}\left(4\xi(1-\xi)\right) - \frac{\xi(1+\xi)}{1-\xi} + 2\iota(1-\xi)\right]_{+} & 0 < \xi < 1, \\ \left[-\frac{1+\xi^{2}}{1-\xi}\ln\frac{\xi}{\xi-1} - 1 + \frac{3}{2(1-\xi)}\right]_{+} & \xi < 0, \end{cases}$$

 $\iota = 0$  for  $\gamma_0$  and  $\iota = 1$  for  $\gamma_3 / \gamma_5 \gamma_3$ .

- Additional subtractions with respect to  $\overline{\rm MS}$  made outside the physical region of the unintegrated vertex corrections.
- Thus, needs modified renormalization scheme for input quasi-PDF  $\rightarrow M\overline{\rm MS}$  scheme.





$$q(x,\mu) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\xi}{|\xi|} C\left(\xi, \frac{\mu}{xP_3}\right) \tilde{q}\left(\frac{x}{\xi}, \mu, P_3\right)$$

C – matching kernel  $\overline{MMS} \rightarrow \overline{MS}$ : [C. Alexandrou et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 121 (2018) 112001]

$$\left[\frac{1+\xi^2}{1-\xi}\ln\frac{\xi}{\xi-1} + 1 + \frac{3}{2\xi}\right]_+ \qquad \xi > 1,$$

$$C\left(\xi,\frac{\xi\mu}{xP_{3}}\right) = \delta(1-\xi) + \frac{\alpha_{s}}{2\pi}C_{F} \begin{cases} \left[\frac{1+\xi^{2}}{1-\xi}\ln\frac{x^{2}P_{3}^{2}}{\xi^{2}\mu^{2}}\left(4\xi(1-\xi)\right) - \frac{\xi(1+\xi)}{1-\xi} + 2\iota(1-\xi)\right]_{+} & 0 < \xi < 1, \\ \left[-\frac{1+\xi^{2}}{1-\xi}\ln\frac{\xi}{\xi-1} - 1 + \frac{3}{2(1-\xi)}\right]_{+} & \xi < 0, \end{cases}$$

 $\iota = 0$  for  $\gamma_0$  and  $\iota = 1$  for  $\gamma_3 / \gamma_5 \gamma_3$ .

- Additional subtractions with respect to  $\overline{\rm MS}$  made outside the physical region of the unintegrated vertex corrections.
- Thus, needs modified renormalization scheme for input quasi-PDF  $\rightarrow M\overline{\rm MS}$  scheme.
- In this procedure, vector current is **conserved**.

#### Krzysztof Cichy

Computing x-dependent PDFs on the lattice – EINN 2019 – Paphos – 25 / 39



### Matched PDFs





C. Alexandrou et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 121 (2018) 112001

Krzysztof Cichy

Computing x-dependent PDFs on the lattice – EINN 2019 – Paphos – 26 / 39



### Matched PDFs





C. Alexandrou et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 121 (2018) 112001

Krzysztof Cichy

Computing x-dependent PDFs on the lattice – EINN 2019 – Paphos – 26 / 39



## Matched PDFs





C. Alexandrou et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 121 (2018) 112001

Krzysztof Cichy

Computing x-dependent PDFs on the lattice – EINN 2019 – Paphos – 26 / 39



Quasi-PDFs

Lattice setup

Results

Bare ME Renorm ME

Matching Systematics

Summary

Quasi-GPDs

Lattice and pheno

# Step 7



The procedure to obtain light-cone PDFs from the lattice computation can be summarized as follows:

- 1. Compute bare matrix elements:  $\langle N | \overline{\psi}(z) \Gamma \mathcal{A}(z,0) \psi(0) | N \rangle$ .
- 2. Compute renormalization functions in an intermediate lattice scheme (here: RI'-MOM):  $Z^{\text{RI}'}(z, \mu)$ .
- 3. Perturbatively convert the renormalization functions to the scheme needed for matching (here  $M\overline{MS}$ ) and evolve to a reference scale:  $Z^{RI'}(z,\mu) \rightarrow Z^{M\overline{MS}}(z,\bar{\mu})$ .
- 4. Apply the renormalization functions to the bare matrix elements, obtaining renormalized matrix elements in the  $M\overline{MS}$  scheme.
- 5. Calculate the Fourier transform, obtaining quasi-PDFs:

$$\tilde{q}^{\mathrm{M}\overline{\mathrm{M}\mathrm{S}}}(x,\bar{\mu},P_3) = \int \frac{dz}{4\pi} e^{ixP_3 z} \langle N|\overline{\psi}(z)\Gamma \mathcal{A}(z,0)\psi(0)|N\rangle^{\mathrm{M}\overline{\mathrm{M}\mathrm{S}}}.$$

- 6. Relate  $\overline{\text{MMS}}$  quasi-PDFs to  $\overline{\text{MS}}$  light-cone PDFs via a matching procedure:  $\tilde{q}^{\overline{\text{MMS}}}(x, \bar{\mu}, P_3) \rightarrow q^{\overline{\text{MS}}}(x, \bar{\mu})$ .
- 7. Apply nucleon mass corr. to eliminate residual  $m_N^2/P_3^2$  effects.





Quasi-PDFs

Results

Lattice setup

Bare ME

Renorm ME

Matching

Systematics Quasi-GPDs Lattice and pheno

Summary

In the infinite momentum frame, nucleon mass does not matter, i.e.  $m_N/P_3 = 0$ .

Here, we work with nucleon boosted to finite momentum  $P_3$  and we need to correct for  $m_N/P_3 \neq 0$ .

These corrections were derived in: [J.W. Chen et al., Nucl.Phys. B911 (2016) 246-273, arXiv:1603.06664 [hep-ph]]

Important feature: particle number is conserved in nucleon mass corrections.







C. Alexandrou et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 121 (2018) 112001

Krzysztof Cichy

Computing x-dependent PDFs on the lattice – EINN 2019 – Paphos – 29 / 39







C. Alexandrou et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 121 (2018) 112001

Krzysztof Cichy

Computing x-dependent PDFs on the lattice – EINN 2019 – Paphos – 29 / 39



### **Transversity PDF**



C. Alexandrou et al., Phys. Rev. D98 (2018) 091503 (Rapid Communications)



Statistical precision already much better than the precision of phenomenological fits from SIDIS: JAM Collaboration, Phys. Rev. Lett. 120 (2018) 152502

Krzysztof Cichy

Computing x-dependent PDFs on the lattice – EINN 2019 – Paphos – 30 / 39





#### Different systematic effects:

Outline of the talk

Quasi-PDFs

Results

Lattice setup

Bare ME

Renorm ME

Matching

Systematics

 $\mathsf{Quasi-GPDs}$ 

Lattice and pheno

Summary





#### Different systematic effects:

Outline of the talk

Quasi-PDFs

Results

Lattice setup

Bare ME

Renorm ME

Matching

Systematics

 $\mathsf{Quasi}\operatorname{-}\mathsf{GPDs}$ 

Lattice and pheno

Summary

• pion mass





#### Different systematic effects:

Outline of the talk

Quasi-PDFs

Results

Lattice setup

Bare ME

Renorm ME

Matching

Systematics

Quasi-GPDs

Lattice and pheno

Summary

pion mass 🗸



Quasi-PDFs

Lattice setup

Lattice and pheno

Results

Bare ME Renorm ME Matching Systematics Quasi-GPDs

Summary

## **Systematics**

Different systematic effects:

- pion mass 🗸
- contamination by excited states





## **Systematics**

ented winsteer the UAN

### Different systematic effects:

- pion mass 🗸
  - contamination by excited states ✓ (suppressed below stat. error)

Matching

#### Systematics

Quasi-PDFs

Lattice setup

Results

Bare ME Renorm ME

- Quasi-GPDs
- Lattice and pheno
- Summary



Quasi-PDFs

Lattice setup

Lattice and pheno

Results

Bare ME Renorm ME

Matching Systematics Quasi-GPDs

Summary

## **Systematics**



#### Different systematic effects:

- pion mass 🗸
- contamination by excited states
- cut-off effects





#### Different systematic effects:

- pion mass 🗸
- contamination by excited states
- cut-off effects  $\checkmark$  (continuum dispersion relation holds, but h(z) ???)

Outline of the talk

Quasi-PDFs

Results

Lattice setup

Bare ME

Renorm ME

Matching

Systematics

Quasi-GPDs

Lattice and pheno

Summary



Quasi-PDFs

Lattice setup

Renorm ME

Lattice and pheno

Results

Bare ME

Matching Systematics Quasi-GPDs

Summary

#### **Systematics**



#### Different systematic effects:

- pion mass 🗸
- contamination by excited states
- cut-off effects  $\checkmark$  (continuum dispersion relation holds, but h(z) ???)
- finite volume effects





#### Different systematic effects:

- pion mass 🗸
- contamination by excited states ✓ (suppressed below stat. error)
- cut-off effects  $\checkmark$  (continuum dispersion relation holds, but h(z) ???)

Quasi-PDFs

Outline of the talk

Results

Lattice setup

Bare ME

Renorm ME

Matching

Systematics

Quasi-GPDs

Lattice and pheno

Summary





#### Different systematic effects:

- pion mass 🗸
  - contamination by excited states
  - cut-off effects  $\checkmark$  (continuum dispersion relation holds, but h(z) ???)
  - finite volume effects
  - lattice artifacts in renormalization functions

Quasi-PDFs

Outline of the talk

Results

Lattice setup

Bare ME

Renorm ME

Matching Systematics

Quasi-GPDs

Lattice and pheno

Summary


## **Systematics**



### Different systematic effects:

- pion mass 🗸
  - contamination by excited states ✓ (suppressed below stat. error)
  - cut-off effects  $\checkmark$  (continuum dispersion relation holds, but h(z) ???)
  - finite volume effects
  - lattice artifacts in renormalization functions  $\checkmark \checkmark$  (typical  $\mathcal{O}(\leq 1\%)$ )

Quasi-PDFs

Outline of the talk

Results

Lattice setup

Bare ME

Renorm ME

Matching Systematics

Quasi-GPDs

Lattice and pheno

Summary



Quasi-PDFs

Results

Lattice setup

Bare ME

Renorm ME

Matching

Systematics Quasi-GPDs

Lattice and pheno

Summary

# **Systematics**



### Different systematic effects:

- pion mass 🗸
- contamination by excited states ✓ (suppressed below stat. error)
- cut-off effects  $\checkmark$  (continuum dispersion relation holds, but h(z) ???)
- finite volume effects 
   (typically small in lattice HS)
- lattice artifacts in renormalization functions  $\checkmark \times$  (typical  $\mathcal{O}(\leq 1\%)$ )
- higher-twist effects



Quasi-PDFs

Results

Lattice setup

Bare ME

Renorm ME

Matching

Systematics

Quasi-GPDs

Lattice and pheno

Summary

## **Systematics**



### Different systematic effects:

- 🔹 pion mass 🗸
- cut-off effects  $\checkmark$  (continuum dispersion relation holds, but h(z) ???)
- finite volume effects 
   (typically small in lattice HS)
- lattice artifacts in renormalization functions  $\checkmark \times$  (typical  $\mathcal{O}(\leq 1\%)$ )
- higher-twist effects

(expected to be  $\mathcal{O}(\Lambda_{
m QCD}^2/P_3^2) \approx 5\%$  at  $P_3 = 1.4$  GeV)



Quasi-PDFs

Results

Lattice setup

Bare ME

Renorm ME

Matching

Systematics

Quasi-GPDs

Lattice and pheno

Summary

# **Systematics**



### Different systematic effects:

- 🔹 pion mass 🗸
- cut-off effects  $\checkmark$  (continuum dispersion relation holds, but h(z) ???)
- finite volume effects ✓X (typically small in lattice HS)
- lattice artifacts in renormalization functions  $\checkmark \times$  (typical  $\mathcal{O}(\leq 1\%)$ )
- higher-twist effects

(expected to be  ${\cal O}(\Lambda_{
m QCD}^2/P_3^2)pprox 5\%$  at  $P_3=1.4$  GeV)

• Fourier transform reconstruction



Quasi-PDFs

Results

Lattice setup

Bare ME

Renorm ME

Matching

Systematics

Quasi-GPDs

Lattice and pheno

Summary

# **Systematics**



### Different systematic effects:

- 🔹 pion mass 🗸
- cut-off effects  $\checkmark$  (continuum dispersion relation holds, but h(z) ???)
- finite volume effects ✓X (typically small in lattice HS)
- lattice artifacts in renormalization functions  $\checkmark \times$  (typical  $\mathcal{O}(\leq 1\%)$ )
- higher-twist effects

(expected to be  $\mathcal{O}(\Lambda_{
m QCD}^2/P_3^2) \approx 5\%$  at  $P_3 = 1.4$  GeV)

Fourier transform reconstruction



Quasi-PDFs

Results

Lattice setup

Bare ME

Renorm ME

Matching

Systematics

Quasi-GPDs

Lattice and pheno

Summary

## **Systematics**



### Different systematic effects:

- 🔹 pion mass 🗸
- cut-off effects  $\checkmark$  (continuum dispersion relation holds, but h(z) ???)
- finite volume effects 
   (typically small in lattice HS)
- lattice artifacts in renormalization functions  $\checkmark \times$  (typical  $\mathcal{O}(\leq 1\%)$ )
- higher-twist effects

(expected to be  $\mathcal{O}(\Lambda_{
m QCD}^2/P_3^2) \approx 5\%$  at  $P_3 = 1.4$  GeV)

Fourier transform reconstruction 
 J. Karpie et al., JHEP 1904 (2019) 057



Quasi-PDFs

Results

Lattice setup

Bare ME

Renorm ME

- Matching
- Systematics
- Quasi-GPDs
- Lattice and pheno
- Summary

# **Systematics**



### Different systematic effects:

- 🔹 pion mass 🗸
- cut-off effects  $\checkmark$  (continuum dispersion relation holds, but h(z) ???)
- finite volume effects 
   (typically small in lattice HS)
- lattice artifacts in renormalization functions  $\checkmark \times$  (typical  $\mathcal{O}(\leq 1\%)$ )
- higher-twist effects

(expected to be  $\mathcal{O}(\Lambda_{\rm QCD}^2/P_3^2) \approx 5\%$  at  $P_3 = 1.4$  GeV)

- Fourier transform reconstruction 
   J. Karpie et al., JHEP 1904 (2019) 057
- truncation of conversion, evolution and matching



Quasi-PDFs

Results

Lattice setup

Bare ME

Renorm ME

Matching

Systematics

Quasi-GPDs

Lattice and pheno

Summary

# **Systematics**



### Different systematic effects:

- 🔹 pion mass 🗸
- cut-off effects X (continuum dispersion relation holds, but h(z) ???)
- finite volume effects 
   (typically small in lattice HS)
- lattice artifacts in renormalization functions  $\checkmark \times$  (typical  $\mathcal{O}(\leq 1\%)$ )
- higher-twist effects

(expected to be  $\mathcal{O}(\Lambda_{\rm QCD}^2/P_3^2) \approx 5\%$  at  $P_3 = 1.4$  GeV)

- Fourier transform reconstruction 
   J. Karpie et al., JHEP 1904 (2019) 057
- truncation of conversion, evolution and matching X (uncontrolled, can be sizable)



Quasi-PDFs

Results

Lattice setup

Bare ME

Renorm ME

- Matching
- Systematics
- Quasi-GPDs
- Lattice and pheno
- Summary

# **Systematics**



### Different systematic effects:

- 🔹 pion mass 🗸
- cut-off effects  $\checkmark$  (continuum dispersion relation holds, but h(z) ???)
- finite volume effects ✓X (typically small in lattice HS)
- lattice artifacts in renormalization functions  $\checkmark \times$  (typical  $\mathcal{O}(\leq 1\%)$ )
- higher-twist effects

(expected to be  $\mathcal{O}(\Lambda_{\rm QCD}^2/P_3^2) \approx 5\%$  at  $P_3 = 1.4$  GeV)

- Fourier transform reconstruction 
   J. Karpie et al., JHEP 1904 (2019) 057
- truncation of conversion, evolution and matching × (uncontrolled, can be sizable)
- . . . . . .



Quasi-PDFs

Results

Lattice setup

Bare ME

Renorm ME

#### Matching

- Systematics
- Quasi-GPDs
- Lattice and pheno
- Summary

# **Systematics**



### Different systematic effects:

- 🔹 pion mass 🗸
- contamination by excited states
- cut-off effects X (continuum dispersion relation holds, but h(z) ???)
- finite volume effects
- lattice artifacts in renormalization functions  $\checkmark \checkmark$  (typical  $\mathcal{O}(\leq 1\%)$ )
- higher-twist effects

(expected to be  $\mathcal{O}(\Lambda_{\rm QCD}^2/P_3^2) \approx 5\%$  at  $P_3 = 1.4$  GeV)

- Fourier transform reconstruction 
   J. Karpie et al., JHEP 1904 (2019) 057
- truncation of conversion, evolution and matching × (uncontrolled, can be sizable)

• . . . . . .

Investigation of several of these systematics in:

C. Alexandrou et al. [ETM Collaboration], "Systematic uncertainties in parton distribution functions from lattice QCD simulations at the physical point", Phys. Rev. D99 (2019) 114504.







GPDs – can be accessed with the same type of matrix elements as PDFs:







GPDs – can be accessed with the same type of matrix elements as PDFs:

 $\langle P''|\overline{\psi}(z)\Gamma\mathcal{A}(z,0)\psi(0)|P'\rangle,$ 



Preliminary new results – qGPDs  $N_f = 2 + 1 + 1$ 



GPDs – can be accessed with the same type of matrix elements as PDFs:

 $\langle P''|\overline{\psi}(z)\Gamma\mathcal{A}(z,0)\psi(0)|P'\rangle,$ 

sink momentum transfer source







GPDs – can be accessed with the same type of matrix elements as PDFs:

 $\langle P''|\overline{\psi}(z)\Gamma\mathcal{A}(z,0)\psi(0)|P'\rangle,$ 

sink momentum transfer source

$$P = \frac{P' + P''}{2}, \quad Q = P'' - P'$$
 avg.momentum 2 momentum transfer

Contraction of the second seco





GPDs – can be accessed with the same type of matrix elements as PDFs:

 $\begin{array}{l} \langle P'' | \overline{\psi}(z) \Gamma \mathcal{A}(z,0) \psi(0) | P' \rangle, \\ \text{sink momentum transfer source} \\ P = \frac{P' + P''}{2}, \quad Q = P'' - P' \\ \text{avg.momentum} \\ t = -Q^2, \\ \text{momentum transfer} \\ \tilde{\xi} = -\frac{P''_3 - P'_3}{P''_3 + P'_3} = -\frac{Q_3}{2P_3} \\ \text{guasi-skewness} \end{array}$ 

Constant of the second of the





GPDs – can be accessed with the same type of matrix elements as PDFs:

 $\begin{array}{l} \langle P'' | \overline{\psi}(z) \Gamma \mathcal{A}(z,0) \psi(0) | P' \rangle, \\ \text{sink momentum transfer source} \\ P = \frac{P' + P''}{2}, \quad Q = P'' - P' \\ \text{avg.momentum} \\ \text{t} = -Q^2, \\ \text{momentum transfer} \\ \tilde{\xi} = -\frac{P''_3 - P'_3}{P''_3 + P'_3} = -\frac{Q_3}{2P_3} \\ \text{quasi-skewness} \end{array}$ 

GPDs:  $H(x, t, \xi, \mu^2)$  $E(x, t, \xi, \mu^2)$ 

Constant of the second of the





GPDs – can be accessed with the same type of matrix elements as PDFs:

 $\begin{array}{l} \langle P'' | \overline{\psi}(z) \Gamma \mathcal{A}(z,0) \psi(0) | P' \rangle, \\ \text{sink momentum transfer source} \\ P = \frac{P' + P''}{2}, \quad Q = P'' - P' \\ \text{avg.momentum} \\ \text{t} = -Q^2, \\ \text{momentum transfer} \\ \tilde{\xi} = -\frac{P''_3 - P'_3}{P''_3 + P'_3} = -\frac{Q_3}{2P_3} \\ \text{quasi-skewness} \end{array}$ 

GPDs:  $H(x,t,\xi,\mu^2)$  $E(x,t,\xi,\mu^2)$ 

Aim: to cover a wide range of  $t, \xi$  parameter space.

Constant of the second of the



GPDs – can be accessed with the same type of matrix elements as PDFs:

 $\begin{array}{l} \langle P'' | \overline{\psi}(z) \Gamma \mathcal{A}(z,0) \psi(0) | P' \rangle, \\ \text{sink momentum transfer source} \\ P = \frac{P' + P''}{2}, \quad Q = P'' - P' \\ \text{avg.momentum} \\ \text{t} = -Q^2, \\ \text{t} = -Q^2, \\ \text{momentum transfer} \\ \tilde{\xi} = -\frac{P''_3 - P'_3}{P''_3 + P'_3} = -\frac{Q_3}{2P_3} \\ \text{guasi-skewness} \end{array}$ 

• fermions:  $N_f = 2 + 1 + 1$ TM fermions + clover term,

- gluons: Iwasaki gauge action,  $\beta = 1.778$ ,
- $32^3 \times 64$ , L = 3 fm,  $m_{\pi}L = 4$ ,
- *a*=0.081 fm,
- $m_{\pi}L \approx 270$  MeV.

ETMC, arXiv:1910.13229



 $\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{GPDs:} \ H(x,t,\xi,\mu^2) \\ E(x,t,\xi,\mu^2) \end{array}$ 

Aim: to cover a wide range of  $t, \xi$  parameter space.



GPDs – can be accessed with the same type of matrix elements as PDFs:

 $\langle P''|\psi(z)\Gamma\mathcal{A}(z,0)\psi(0)|P'\rangle,$ sink momentum transfer source  $P = \frac{P' + P''}{2}, \quad Q = P'' - P'$ momentum transfer avg.momentu  $t = -Q^2, \qquad \tilde{\xi} = -\frac{P_3'' - P_3'}{P_3'' + P_3'} = -\frac{Q_3}{2P_3}$  momentum transfer auasi-skewness GPDs:  $H(x, t, \xi, \mu^2)$  $E(x,t,\xi,\mu^2)$ Aim: to cover a wide range of  $t, \xi$  parameter space.

- fermions:  $N_f = 2 + 1 + 1$ TM fermions + clover term,
- gluons: Iwasaki gauge action,  $\beta = 1.778$ ,
- $32^3 \times 64$ , L = 3 fm,  $m_{\pi}L = 4$ ,
- *a*=0.081 fm,
- $m_{\pi}L \approx 270$  MeV.







Krzysztof Cichy



GPDs – can be accessed with the same type of matrix elements as PDFs:

 $\langle P''|\psi(z)\Gamma\mathcal{A}(z,0)\psi(0)|P'\rangle,$ sink momentum transfer source  $P = \frac{P' + P''}{2}, \quad Q = P'' - P'$ momentum transfer avg.momentu  $t = -Q^2, \qquad \tilde{\xi} = -\frac{P_3'' - P_3'}{P_3'' + P_3'} = -\frac{Q_3}{2P_3}$  momentum transfer auasi-skewness GPDs:  $H(x, t, \xi, \mu^2)$  $E(x,t,\xi,\mu^2)$ Aim: to cover a wide range of  $t, \xi$  parameter space.

- fermions:  $N_f = 2 + 1 + 1$ TM fermions + clover term,
- gluons: Iwasaki gauge action,  $\beta = 1.778$ ,
- $32^3 \times 64$ , L = 3 fm,  $m_{\pi}L = 4$ ,
- *a*=0.081 fm,
- $m_{\pi}L \approx 270$  MeV.

ETMC, arXiv:1910.13229





Krzysztof Cichy

Preliminary new results – qGPDs  $N_f = 2 + 1 + 1$ 



• fermions:  $N_f = 2 + 1 + 1$ 





Krzysztof Cichy

Preliminary new results – qGPDs  $N_f = 2 + 1 + 1$ 



• fermions:  $N_f = 2 + 1 + 1$ 





Krzysztof Cichy





• Factorization relates experimental cross sections to PDFs.





- Factorization relates experimental cross sections to PDFs.
- Similarly: factorization relates lattice observables to PDFs, e.g.:

$$\tilde{q}(x,\mu,P_3) = \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{d\xi}{|\xi|} C\left(\frac{x}{\xi},\mu,P_3\right) q(x,\mu)$$





- Factorization relates experimental cross sections to PDFs.
- Similarly: factorization relates lattice observables to PDFs, e.g.:

$$\tilde{q}(x,\mu,P_3) = \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{d\xi}{|\xi|} C\left(\frac{x}{\xi},\mu,P_3\right) q(x,\mu)$$

• Question: can we treat lattice observables similarly to cross sections?





- Factorization relates experimental cross sections to PDFs.
- Similarly: factorization relates lattice observables to PDFs, e.g.:

$$\tilde{q}(x,\mu,P_3) = \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{d\xi}{|\xi|} C\left(\frac{x}{\xi},\mu,P_3\right) q(x,\mu)$$

- Question: can we treat lattice observables similarly to cross sections?
- Recent attempt to learn something about this question:
   K.C., L. Del Debbio, T. Giani, JHEP 10 (2019) 137





- Factorization relates experimental cross sections to PDFs.
- Similarly: factorization relates lattice observables to PDFs, e.g.:

$$\tilde{q}(x,\mu,P_3) = \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{d\xi}{|\xi|} C\left(\frac{x}{\xi},\mu,P_3\right) q(x,\mu)$$

- Question: can we treat lattice observables similarly to cross sections?
- Recent attempt to learn something about this question: K.C., L. Del Debbio, T. Giani, JHEP 10 (2019) 137
- Using the robust NNPDF framework for fitting.





- Factorization relates experimental cross sections to PDFs.
- Similarly: factorization relates lattice observables to PDFs, e.g.:

$$\tilde{q}(x,\mu,P_3) = \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{d\xi}{|\xi|} C\left(\frac{x}{\xi},\mu,P_3\right) q(x,\mu)$$

- Question: can we treat lattice observables similarly to cross sections?
- Recent attempt to learn something about this question: K.C., L. Del Debbio, T. Giani, JHEP 10 (2019) 137
- Using the robust NNPDF framework for fitting.
- Observables: non-singlet distributions  $V_3$  and  $T_3$  (unpolarized):  $V_3 = u - \bar{u} - (d - \bar{d}) = u_V - d_V$  $T_3 = u + \bar{u} - (d + \bar{d}) = u_V - d_V + 2(u_S - d_S)$





- Factorization relates experimental cross sections to PDFs.
- Similarly: factorization relates lattice observables to PDFs, e.g.:

$$\tilde{q}(x,\mu,P_3) = \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{d\xi}{|\xi|} C\left(\frac{x}{\xi},\mu,P_3\right) q(x,\mu)$$

- Question: can we treat lattice observables similarly to cross sections?
- Recent attempt to learn something about this question: K.C., L. Del Debbio, T. Giani, JHEP 10 (2019) 137
- Using the robust NNPDF framework for fitting.
- Observables: non-singlet distributions  $V_3$  and  $T_3$  (unpolarized):  $V_3 = u - \bar{u} - (d - \bar{d}) = u_V - d_V$  $T_3 = u + \bar{u} - (d + \bar{d}) = u_V - d_V + 2(u_S - d_S)$
- We have:

$$\mathcal{O}_{\gamma^0}^{\mathsf{Re/Im}}(z,\mu) = \int_0^1 dx \, \mathcal{C}_3^{\mathsf{Re/Im}}\!\left(x,z,\frac{\mu}{P_z}\right) V_3/T_3\left(x,\mu\right) = \mathcal{C}_3^{\mathsf{Re/Im}}\!\left(z,\frac{\mu}{P_z}\right) \circledast V_3/T_3\left(\mu\right),$$





- Factorization relates experimental cross sections to PDFs.
- Similarly: factorization relates lattice observables to PDFs, e.g.:

$$\tilde{q}(x,\mu,P_3) = \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{d\xi}{|\xi|} C\left(\frac{x}{\xi},\mu,P_3\right) q(x,\mu)$$

- Question: can we treat lattice observables similarly to cross sections?
- Recent attempt to learn something about this question: K.C., L. Del Debbio, T. Giani, JHEP 10 (2019) 137
- Using the robust NNPDF framework for fitting.
- Observables: non-singlet distributions  $V_3$  and  $T_3$  (unpolarized):  $V_3 = u - \bar{u} - (d - \bar{d}) = u_V - d_V$  $T_3 = u + \bar{u} - (d + \bar{d}) = u_V - d_V + 2(u_S - d_S)$
- We have:  $\mathcal{O}_{\gamma^0}^{\mathsf{Re/Im}}(z,\mu) = \int_0^1 dx \, \mathcal{C}_3^{\mathsf{Re/Im}}\left(x,z,\frac{\mu}{P_z}\right) V_3/T_3\left(x,\mu\right) = \mathcal{C}_3^{\mathsf{Re/Im}}\left(z,\frac{\mu}{P_z}\right) \circledast V_3/T_3\left(\mu\right),$
- The above equations implemented using FastKernel tables that combine the matching and DGLAP evolution.





- Factorization relates experimental cross sections to PDFs.
- Similarly: factorization relates lattice observables to PDFs, e.g.:

$$\tilde{q}(x,\mu,P_3) = \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{d\xi}{|\xi|} C\left(\frac{x}{\xi},\mu,P_3\right) q(x,\mu)$$

- Question: can we treat lattice observables similarly to cross sections?
- Recent attempt to learn something about this question: K.C., L. Del Debbio, T. Giani, JHEP 10 (2019) 137
- Using the robust NNPDF framework for fitting.
- Observables: non-singlet distributions  $V_3$  and  $T_3$  (unpolarized):  $V_3 = u - \bar{u} - (d - \bar{d}) = u_V - d_V$  $T_3 = u + \bar{u} - (d + \bar{d}) = u_V - d_V + 2(u_S - d_S)$
- We have:  $\mathcal{O}_{\gamma^0}^{\mathsf{Re/Im}}(z,\mu) = \int_0^1 dx \, \mathcal{C}_3^{\mathsf{Re/Im}}\left(x,z,\frac{\mu}{P_z}\right) V_3/T_3\left(x,\mu\right) = \mathcal{C}_3^{\mathsf{Re/Im}}\left(z,\frac{\mu}{P_z}\right) \circledast V_3/T_3\left(\mu\right),$
- The above equations implemented using FastKernel tables that combine the matching and DGLAP evolution.
- NN parametrization:  $V_3/T_3(x,\mu) \propto x^{\alpha_{V/T}} (1-x)^{\beta_{V/T}} NN_{V/T}(x)$ .





- Exercise: generate pseudo data from a selected NNPDF and run fitting code over them.
- 16 "lattice points" generated (16 real, 15 imaginary)





- Exercise: generate pseudo data from a selected NNPDF and run fitting code over them.
- 16 "lattice points" generated (16 real, 15 imaginary)
- Test different scenarios: K.C., L. Del Debbio, T. Giani, JHEP 10 (2019) 137



### only error of NNPDF





- Exercise: generate pseudo data from a selected NNPDF and run fitting code over them.
- 16 "lattice points" generated (16 real, 15 imaginary)
- Test different scenarios:



K.C., L. Del Debbio, T. Giani, JHEP 10 (2019) 137

Very robust result! pseudo data: 1. DGLAP evolution  $1.65 \rightarrow 2$  GeV 2. inverse matching 3. inverse Fourier reconstruction: 1. NN fit 2. matching 3. DGLAP evolution  $2 \rightarrow 1.65$  GeV

### only error of NNPDF





- Exercise: generate pseudo data from a selected NNPDF and run fitting code over them.
- 16 "lattice points" generated (16 real, 15 imaginary)
- Test different scenarios:



only error of NNPDF

K.C., L. Del Debbio, T. Giani, JHEP 10 (2019) 137



in constraining PDFs! (only 16 lat. points!)

See also: J.Karpie et al., JHEP04(2019)057

Krzysztof Cichy





- Exercise: generate pseudo data from a selected NNPDF and run fitting code over them.
- 16 "lattice points" generated (16 real, 15 imaginary)
- Test different scenarios:

K.C., L. Del Debbio, T. Giani, JHEP 10 (2019) 137







Shows the power of the convolution (\*) in constraining PDFs! (only 16 lat. points!)

See also: J.Karpie et al., JHEP04(2019)057



+ a scenario for systematics


# Fitting actual lattice data



• We also took actual ETMC lattice data for the unpolarized case and used the NNPDF framework to calculate the resulting  $V_3$  and  $T_3$  distributions.





- We also took actual ETMC lattice data for the unpolarized case and used the NNPDF framework to calculate the resulting  $V_3$  and  $T_3$  distributions.
- We took actual statistical errors and considered different scenarios for systematics:

Scenario	Cut-off	FVE	Excited states	Truncation
S1	10%	2.5%	5%	10%
S2	20%	5%	10%	20%
<b>S</b> 3	30%	$e^{-3+0.062z/a}\%$	15%	30%
<b>S</b> 4	0.1	0.025	0.05	0.1
<b>S</b> 5	0.2	0.05	0.1	0.2
<b>S6</b>	0.3	$e^{-3+0.062z/a}$	0.15	0.3





- We also took actual ETMC lattice data for the unpolarized case and used the NNPDF framework to calculate the resulting  $V_3$  and  $T_3$  distributions.
- We took actual statistical errors and considered different scenarios for systematics:

Scenario	Cut-off	FVE	Excited states	Truncation	]
S1	10%	2.5%	5%	10%	
<b>S</b> 2	20%	5%	10%	20%	
<b>S</b> 3	30%	$e^{-3+0.062z/a}\%$	15%	30%	
<b>S</b> 4	0.1	0.025	0.05	0.1	
<b>S</b> 5	0.2	0.05	0.1	0.2	
<b>S</b> 6	0.3	$e^{-3+0.062z/a}$	0.15	0.3	
Results from scenarios S2 and S5 ("realistic"):				, K. <mark>C., L.</mark> E '): JHEF	Del Debbio, T. Giani P 10 (2019) 137



Krzysztof Cichy

Computing x-dependent PDFs on the lattice – EINN 2019 – Paphos – 35 / 39





- We also took actual ETMC lattice data for the unpolarized case and used the NNPDF framework to calculate the resulting  $V_3$  and  $T_3$  distributions.
- We took actual statistical errors and considered different scenarios for systematics:

Scenario	Cut-off	FVE	Excited states	Truncation	]
S1	10%	2.5%	5%	10%	
<b>S</b> 2	20%	5%	10%	20%	
<b>S</b> 3	30%	$e^{-3+0.062z/a}\%$	15%	30%	
<b>S</b> 4	0.1	0.025	0.05	0.1	
<b>S</b> 5	0.2	0.05	0.1	0.2	
<b>S</b> 6	0.3	$e^{-3+0.062z/a}$	0.15	0.3	
Results from scenarios S2 and S5 ("realistic"):				, K. <mark>C., L.</mark> E '): JHEF	Del Debbio, T. Giani P 10 (2019) 137



Krzysztof Cichy

Computing x-dependent PDFs on the lattice – EINN 2019 – Paphos – 35 / 39





K.C., L. Del Debbio, T. Giani

JHEP 10 (2019) 137

- We also took actual ETMC lattice data for the unpolarized case and used the NNPDF framework to calculate the resulting  $V_3$  and  $T_3$  distributions.
- We took actual statistical errors and considered different scenarios for systematics:

Scenario	Cut-off	FVE	Excited states	Truncation
S1	10%	2.5%	5%	10%
<b>S</b> 2	20%	5%	10%	20%
<b>S</b> 3	30%	$e^{-3+0.062z/a}\%$	15%	30%
<b>S</b> 4	0.1	0.025	0.05	0.1
<b>S</b> 5	0.2	0.05	0.1	0.2
<b>S6</b>	0.3	$e^{-3+0.062z/a}$	0.15	0.3

Results from scenarios S2 and S5 ("realistic"):



Krzysztof Cichy

Computing x-dependent PDFs on the lattice – EINN 2019 – Paphos – 35 / 39



# Overview of results from different approaches





# See talks in the parallel workshop: Distribution functions: Lattice QCD meets phenomenology

Krzysztof Cichy

Computing x-dependent PDFs on the lattice – EINN 2019 – Paphos – 36 / 39



# Approaches to light-cone PDFs





Krzysztof Cichy

Computing x-dependent PDFs on the lattice – EINN 2019 – Paphos – 37 / 39



# Approaches to light-cone PDFs





Krzysztof Cichy

Computing x-dependent PDFs on the lattice – EINN 2019 – Paphos – 38 / 39



# **Conclusions and prospects**



• Message of the talk: enormous progress in lattice calculations of *x*-dependence of partonic functions!

Outline of the talk

Quasi-PDFs

Results

Summary

Approaches



Quasi-PDFs

Results

Summary

Approaches

# **Conclusions and prospects**



- Message of the talk: enormous progress in lattice calculations of x-dependence of partonic functions!
- Lattice QCD can now get the full Bjorken-*x* dependence of non-singlet PDFs from first principles at a physical pion mass, with proper non-perturbative renormalization.



Quasi-PDFs

Results

Summary

Approaches



- Message of the talk: enormous progress in lattice calculations of x-dependence of partonic functions!
- Lattice QCD can now get the full Bjorken-*x* dependence of non-singlet PDFs from first principles at a physical pion mass, with proper non-perturbative renormalization.
- Very encouraging results and already agreement with pheno for a range of x values.



Quasi-PDFs

Results

Summary

Approaches



- Message of the talk: enormous progress in lattice calculations of x-dependence of partonic functions!
- Lattice QCD can now get the full Bjorken-*x* dependence of non-singlet PDFs from first principles at a physical pion mass, with proper non-perturbative renormalization.
- Very encouraging results and already agreement with pheno for a range of x values.
- But: still a long way to go to control all systematics.



Quasi-PDFs

Results

Summary

Approaches



- Message of the talk: enormous progress in lattice calculations of x-dependence of partonic functions!
- Lattice QCD can now get the full Bjorken-*x* dependence of non-singlet PDFs from first principles at a physical pion mass, with proper non-perturbative renormalization.
- Very encouraging results and already agreement with pheno for a range of *x* values.
- But: still a long way to go to control all systematics.
- Reliable checks necessary for all kinds of effects.



Quasi-PDFs

Results

Summary

Approaches



- Message of the talk: enormous progress in lattice calculations of x-dependence of partonic functions!
- Lattice QCD can now get the full Bjorken-*x* dependence of non-singlet PDFs from first principles at a physical pion mass, with proper non-perturbative renormalization.
- Very encouraging results and already agreement with pheno for a range of *x* values.
- But: still a long way to go to control all systematics.
- Reliable checks necessary for all kinds of effects.
- In the future, lattice results can have important impact on phenomenology.



Quasi-PDFs

Results

Summary

Approaches



- Message of the talk: enormous progress in lattice calculations of x-dependence of partonic functions!
- Lattice QCD can now get the full Bjorken-*x* dependence of non-singlet PDFs from first principles at a physical pion mass, with proper non-perturbative renormalization.
- Very encouraging results and already agreement with pheno for a range of *x* values.
- But: still a long way to go to control all systematics.
- Reliable checks necessary for all kinds of effects.
- In the future, lattice results can have important impact on phenomenology.
- Also exploratory results for quasi-GPDs.



Quasi-PDFs

Results

Summary

Approaches



- Message of the talk: enormous progress in lattice calculations of x-dependence of partonic functions!
- Lattice QCD can now get the full Bjorken-*x* dependence of non-singlet PDFs from first principles at a physical pion mass, with proper non-perturbative renormalization.
- Very encouraging results and already agreement with pheno for a range of *x* values.
- But: still a long way to go to control all systematics.
- Reliable checks necessary for all kinds of effects.
- In the future, lattice results can have important impact on phenomenology.
- Also exploratory results for quasi-GPDs.
- Several directions for the future also other kinds of structure functions: singlet quark PDFs, TMDs, gluon PDFs etc.



Quasi-PDFs

Results

Summary

Approaches

# **Conclusions and prospects**



- Message of the talk: enormous progress in lattice calculations of x-dependence of partonic functions!
- Lattice QCD can now get the full Bjorken-*x* dependence of non-singlet PDFs from first principles at a physical pion mass, with proper non-perturbative renormalization.
- Very encouraging results and already agreement with pheno for a range of *x* values.
- But: still a long way to go to control all systematics.
- Reliable checks necessary for all kinds of effects.
- In the future, lattice results can have important impact on phenomenology.
- Also exploratory results for quasi-GPDs.
- Several directions for the future also other kinds of structure functions: singlet quark PDFs, TMDs, gluon PDFs etc.

# Thank you for your attention!

Krzysztof Cichy

Computing x-dependent PDFs on the lattice – EINN 2019 – Paphos – 39 / 39





 $Outline \ of \ the \ talk$ 

Quasi-PDFs

Results

Summary

#### Backup slides

New ensemble Z-factors Matching

Matching

Fourier

Momentum

dependence

# **Backup slides**

Krzysztof Cichy

Computing x-dependent PDFs on the lattice – EINN 2019 – Paphos – 40 / 39



# Preliminary new results – qPDFs $N_f = 2 + 1 + 1$



- fermions:  $N_f = 2 + 1 + 1$  TM fermions + clover term,
- gluons: Iwasaki gauge action,  $\beta = 1.778$ ,
- $64^3 \times 128$ , L = 5.2 fm,  $m_{\pi}L = 3.55$ ,
- a=0.081 fm
- physical pion mass,
- around 30000 measurements and increasing.





Krzysztof Cichy

Computing x-dependent PDFs on the lattice – EINN 2019 – Paphos – 41 / 39

#### ETMC, arXiv:1910.13229



### Pion mass dependence of *Z*-factors





C. Alexandrou et al., Phys. Rev. D99 (2019) 114504

Krzysztof Cichy

Computing x-dependent PDFs on the lattice – EINN 2019 – Paphos – 42 / 39





Possibly enhanced FVE in non-local operators suggested in: R. Briceño, J. Guerrero, M. Hansen, C. Monahan, Phys. Rev. D98 (2018) 014511



C. Alexandrou et al., Phys. Rev. D99 (2019) 114504

Krzysztof Cichy

Computing x-dependent PDFs on the lattice - EINN 2019 - Paphos - 43 / 39





Z-factors can have  $\mathcal{O}(g^2 a^{\infty})$  artefacts perturbatively subtracted By: M. Constantinou, H. Panagopoulos, e.g. Phys. Rev. D95 (2017) 034505



Krzysztof Cichy

Computing x-dependent PDFs on the lattice – EINN 2019 – Paphos – 44 / 39





1

The matching formula can be expressed as:

$$q(x,\mu) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{d\xi}{|\xi|} C\left(\xi, \frac{\mu}{xP_3}\right) \tilde{q}\left(\frac{x}{\xi}, \mu, P_3\right)$$

C – matching kernel  $\overline{\mathrm{MS}} \to \overline{\mathrm{MS}}$ : [T. Izubuchi et al., Phys. Rev. D98 (2018) 056004]

$$C\left(\xi,\frac{\xi\mu}{xP_{3}}\right) = \delta(1-\xi) + \frac{\alpha_{s}}{2\pi}C_{F} \begin{cases} \left[\frac{1+\xi^{2}}{1-\xi}\ln\frac{\xi}{\xi-1}+1+\frac{3}{2\xi}\right]_{+(1)}^{[1,\infty]} - \frac{3}{2\xi} & \xi > 1, \\ \left[\frac{1+\xi^{2}}{1-\xi}\ln\frac{x^{2}P_{3}^{2}}{\xi^{2}\mu^{2}}\left(4\xi(1-\xi)\right) - \frac{\xi(1+\xi)}{1-\xi}+2\iota(1-\xi)\right]_{+(1)}^{[0,1]} & 0 < \xi < 0, \\ \left[-\frac{1+\xi^{2}}{1-\xi}\ln\frac{\xi}{\xi-1}-1+\frac{3}{2(1-\xi)}\right]_{+(1)}^{[-\infty,0]} - \frac{3}{2(1-\xi)} & \xi < 0, \\ + \frac{\alpha_{s}C_{F}}{2\pi}\delta(1-\xi)\left(\frac{3}{2}\ln\frac{\mu^{2}}{4y^{2}P_{3}^{2}}+\frac{5}{2}\right), \quad \iota=0 \text{ for } \gamma_{0} \text{ and } \iota=1 \text{ for } \gamma_{3}/\gamma_{5}\gamma_{3}. \end{cases}$$

**Problem:** violates vector current conservation:  

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \, q(x,\mu) \neq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \, \tilde{q}(x,\mu,P_3) \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\xi \, C(\xi,\xi\mu/xP_3) \neq 1,$$
which **increases** with growing  $P_3$  (around 8% at  $P_3 = 10\pi/48$ ).

Krzysztof Cichy

Computing x-dependent PDFs on the lattice – EINN 2019 – Paphos – 45 / 39



Matching to light-front PDFs



Alternative matching: [C. Alexandrou et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 121 (2018) 112001]

$$C\left(\xi,\frac{\xi\mu}{xP_{3}}\right) = \delta(1-\xi) + \frac{\alpha_{s}}{2\pi} C_{F} \begin{cases} \left[\frac{1+\xi^{2}}{1-\xi}\ln\frac{\xi}{\xi-1} + 1 + \frac{3}{2\xi}\right]_{+} & \xi > 1, \\ \left[\frac{1+\xi^{2}}{1-\xi}\ln\frac{x^{2}P_{3}^{2}}{\xi^{2}\mu^{2}}\left(4\xi(1-\xi)\right) - \frac{\xi(1+\xi)}{1-\xi} + 2\iota(1-\xi)\right]_{+} & 0 < \xi < 1, \\ \left[-\frac{1+\xi^{2}}{1-\xi}\ln\frac{\xi}{\xi-1} - 1 + \frac{3}{2(1-\xi)}\right]_{+} & \xi < 0, \end{cases}$$

 $\iota = 0$  for  $\gamma_0$  and  $\iota = 1$  for  $\gamma_3 / \gamma_5 \gamma_3$ .

- In this procedure, vector current is **conserved**.
- Additional subtractions with respect to  $\overline{\rm MS}$  made outside the physical region of the unintegrated vertex corrections.
- Thus, needs modified renormalization scheme for input quasi-PDF.
- However, modification decreases with growing  $P_3$ .



# Modification of the $\overline{\mathrm{MS}}$ scheme



We introduce a modified  $\overline{\text{MS}}$  scheme (M $\overline{\text{MS}}$ ) with an extra subtraction made outside the physical region of the unintegrated vertex corrections. [C. Alexandrou et al., Phys. Rev. D99 (2019) 114504] This renormalizes the  $\xi$ -dependence for  $\xi > 1$  and  $\xi < 0$ .

$$\tilde{Z}_{\Gamma_{\gamma^0}}^{M\overline{MS}}(\xi) = 1 - \frac{\alpha_s}{2\pi} C_F \frac{3}{2} \left( -\frac{1}{\xi} \theta(\xi - 1) - \frac{1}{1 - \xi} \theta(-\xi) \right) - \frac{\alpha_s C_F}{2\pi} \delta(1 - \xi) \left( \frac{3}{2} \ln \frac{1}{4} + \frac{5}{2} \right)$$

In *z*-space:

$$Z_{\Gamma_{\gamma^{0}}}^{M\overline{MS}}(z\mu) = 1 - \frac{\alpha_{s}}{2\pi}C_{F}\left(\frac{3}{2}\ln\left(\frac{1}{4}\right) + \frac{5}{2}\right) + \frac{3}{2}\frac{\alpha_{s}}{2\pi}C_{F}\left(i\pi\frac{|z\mu|}{2z\mu} - Ci(z\mu) + \ln(z\mu) - \ln(|z\mu|) - iSi(z\mu)\right) - \frac{3}{2}\frac{\alpha_{s}}{2\pi}C_{F}e^{iz\mu}\left(\frac{2Ei(-iz\mu) - \ln(-iz\mu) + \ln(iz\mu) + i\pi Sign(z\mu)}{2}\right).$$

The above has to modify the conversion factor, i.e. the conversion will be  $RI \rightarrow M\overline{MS} \rightarrow M\overline{MS}$ . Consistency check:  $z \rightarrow 0$  limit:

$$Z^{M\overline{MS}}_{\Gamma_{\gamma^0}}(z \to 0) = 1 - \frac{\alpha_s C_F}{2\pi} \left(\frac{3}{2}\ln\left(\frac{\mu^2 z^2 e^{2\gamma_E}}{4}\right) + \frac{5}{2}\right) = Z^{Ratio}_{\Gamma_{\gamma^0}}(z\mu)$$

Exactly cancels the divergence in  $\ln(z)$  present in  $\overline{\mathrm{MS}}!$ (consistency with: M. Constantinou, H. Panagopoulos, Phys. Rev. D96 (2017) 054506 and with the "Ratio" scheme of T. Izubuchi et al., Phys. Rev. D98 (2018) 056004)





Another alternative matching ("ratio" scheme): [T. Izubuchi et al., Phys. Rev. D98 (2018) 056004]

$$C\left(\xi,\frac{\mu}{|y|P_{3}}\right) = \delta\left(1-\xi\right) + \frac{\alpha_{s}C_{F}}{2\pi} \begin{cases} \left(\frac{1+\xi^{2}}{1-\xi}\ln\frac{\xi}{\xi-1} + 1 - \frac{3}{2(1-\xi)}\right)_{+(1)} & \xi > 1\\ \left(\frac{1+\xi^{2}}{1-\xi}\left[\ln\frac{y^{2}P_{3}^{2}}{\mu^{2}}\left(4\xi(1-\xi)\right) - 1\right] + 1 + 2\iota(1-\xi) + \frac{3}{2(1-\xi)}\right)_{+(1)} & 0 < \xi < 1\\ \left(-\frac{1+\xi^{2}}{1-\xi}\ln\frac{-\xi}{1-\xi} - 1 + \frac{3}{2(1-\xi)}\right)_{+(1)} & \xi < 0 \end{cases}$$

In this scheme, all regions in the  $\xi$ -integration of the plus functions (including the "physical" one) contain the same  $3/2(1-\xi)$  term and no additional term appears. Modification of the perturbative conversion from the intermediate renormalization scheme to  $\overline{MS}$ :

$$C_0(\mu^2 z^2) = 1 + \frac{\alpha_s C_F}{2\pi} \left[ \frac{3}{2} \ln(\mu^2 z^2 e^{2\gamma_E}/4) + \frac{5}{2} \right]$$

Caveat: modification of the *physical*  $\xi$ -region – potentially large numerical effect.



# Effect from $M\overline{MS}$





Krzysztof Cichy

Computing x-dependent PDFs on the lattice - EINN 2019 - Paphos - 49 / 39



# $\rm M\overline{MS}$ vs. "ratio" scheme



 $M\overline{MS}$  – modification only of the "non-physical" regions  $\xi < 0, \xi > 1$ . "ratio" – modification also of the "physical" region  $0 < \xi < 1$ .



C. Alexandrou et al., Phys. Rev. D99 (2019) 114504

Krzysztof Cichy

Computing x-dependent PDFs on the lattice – EINN 2019 – Paphos – 50 / 39





Matching can also be performed directly from the RI scheme to  $\overline{\rm MS}$  I.W. Stewart, Y. Zhao, Phys. Rev. D97 (2018) 054512



C. Alexandrou et al., Phys. Rev. D99 (2019) 114504

Krzysztof Cichy

Computing x-dependent PDFs on the lattice – EINN 2019 – Paphos – 51 / 39

# **Truncation of Fourier transform**





Krzysztof Cichy

Computing x-dependent PDFs on the lattice – EINN 2019 – Paphos – 52 / 39

## Momentum dependence of final PDFs







Nucleon momenta  $\frac{6\pi}{48}$ ,  $\frac{8\pi}{48}$ ,  $\frac{10\pi}{48}$ 

Results seem to indicate convergence in nucleon boost Expected HTE:  $\mathcal{O}(\Lambda_{\rm QCD}^2/P_3^2) \approx 5\%$  at  $P_3 = 1.4$  GeV

C. Alexandrou et al., Phys. Rev. D99 (2019) 114504

Krzysztof Cichy

Computing x-dependent PDFs on the lattice - EINN 2019 - Paphos - 53 / 39



# Comparison with non-physical pion mass





Krzysztof Cichy

Computing x-dependent PDFs on the lattice – EINN 2019 – Paphos – 54 / 39