



# The search for dark photons

13th European Research Conference on Electromagnetic Interactions with Nucleons and Nuclei

L. Marsicano (INFN Genova, Università di Genova)

### Overview

### Introduction

**Dark photon visible searches** 

E137-visible

HPS

**Dark photon invisible searches** 

E137-invisible

BDX

NA64

LDMX

### Conclusions

### Dark Matter Search

- Dark Matter (DM) existence is highly motivated by various astrophysicalcosmological evidences (Galaxy Rotation Curves, CMBR fluctuations, collisions between galaxy clusters...)
- All DM evidences come from the observation its gravitational effects. No hints on DM particle properties (mass, cross section) from particle physics experiment



The viable DM mass window is dauntingly large:

$$\sim 10^{-20} \text{ eV} \xleftarrow{} 100 \text{ eV} \xrightarrow{} 100 \text{ M}_{\odot}$$

$$\sim 100 \text{ eV} \xrightarrow{} 100 \text{ M}_{\odot}$$

Theoretical guidance needed to narrow the search

### **Thermal Dark Matter**

**Cosmological Hypotesis**: DM particles in **equilibrium** with early universe

$$\chi + \bar{\chi} \leftrightarrow f + f$$

DM-SM decoupling due to Universe cooling (*Freeze-out*)

- DM relic density depends on DM-SM interaction properties
- DM mass and interaction cross section are bound

If  $m_{\text{DM}} \sim 100 \mbox{ GeV} \rightarrow \mbox{ typical weak Interaction cross section: "WIMP <math display="inline">\rightarrow \mbox{Miracle}$ "



### From WIMPs To Dark Sector

- WIMPs search: detectors made of large volumes of active materials to detect cosmogenic DM scattering over nuclei
  - low sensitivity to "light" DM candidates (<1 GeV)
- **NO evidence of WIMP to date** 
  - $\ensuremath{\rightarrow}$  Search for lower mass candidates



- Preserve DM thermalization with lower DM mass  $\rightarrow$  new force necessary
- Simplest Model: Dark Sector (χ particles) coupled to SM through a U(1) massive gauge boson, the Dark Photon (A'), kinetically mixed with SM photon:

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4} F'_{\mu\nu} F'^{\mu\nu} + \frac{\epsilon}{2} F'_{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu} + \frac{m_{A'}^2}{2} A'_{\mu} A'^{\mu} + g_D A'_{\mu} J^{\mu}_D$$

### The Dark Photon Scenario



DM charged under new mediator:  $e_{D} \sim e$ Small A'-photon mixing:  $\epsilon << 1$ 

- By requiring that freeze-out mechanism reproduces today's relic abundance, a target in the parameters space can be derived:
- Define a new variable optimized for thermal targets:

$$\langle \sigma v \rangle \propto \frac{\varepsilon^2 e_D^2 m_{\chi}^2}{m_A^4 \, \prime} = [e_D^2 \varepsilon^2 (\frac{m_{\chi}}{m_A^\prime})^4] \frac{1}{m_{\chi}^2} \equiv \frac{y}{m_{\chi}^2}$$

For a given value of  $m_{y}$ , thermal origin imposes one value of "y"

### **Dark Photon Signatures**



### Dark Sector Searches



### Dark Sector Searches



### Dark Photon – Visible Searches



### Beam Dumps - Visible Decay

- Production: radiative A' emission (A'-strahlung)
- Propagation: if ε < 10-5, A' is long lived → detached decay vertex</p>
- Detection: e+e- pair result of A' decay is measured in a downstream detector









### SLAC E137 -Visible

**SLAC E137:** electron beam-dump experiment from the '80s searching for axion-like particles

- Beam: 20 GeV, ~2 X10<sup>20</sup> EOT
- Target: Aluminum-water beam-dump
- Shielding: 179 m of dirt (hill)
- Decay length: 204 m (air)
- Detector: EM calorimeter + MWPC

#### **Results:**

- No events observed: exclusion limits at 95% CL at 2.3 signal events
- Two reanalysis (Miller,Andreas) resulting in similar exclusion limit in the dark photon parameter space
- Recent limit exstension (Marsicano) considering secondary positron contribution to A' production



## Thin Target Visible Decay

Radiative production mechanism

Very forward A' emission:  $E_A \sim E_{beam}$ 

Decay prod. opening angle: m<sub>A</sub>/E<sub>beam</sub>

- e+e- pairs detected through a downstream particle spectrometer
- Detection strategies:

Energy = E

**resonant search** in the e+e- invariant mass spectrum **detached-vertex** search

(narrow)

(wide)





 $(\underline{m}_A)^{1/2}$ 



#### **Trident Backgrounds**

## The HPS Experiment

HPS experiment at Jefferson Lab Hall-B: fixed-target A' search, with two complementary approaches, "bump-hunting" and "detached vertexing"



### **HPS Results And Status**

#### PHYSICAL REVIEW D 98, 091101(R) (2018)

July 2012: HPS demonstrated the feasibility of the measurement and the operation of the detector in a test run

- Spring 2015: 1.7 PAC days @ 1.06 GeV. Results published in PRD rapid communications
- Spring 2016: 5 PAC days @ 2.3 GeV
- Summer 2019: 2 months running @ 4.55 GeV about 10<sup>5</sup> nbarn<sup>-1</sup> accumulated.

#### Search for a dark photon in electroproduced $e^+e^-$ pairs with the Heavy Photon Search experiment at JLab

P. H. Adrian,<sup>1</sup> N. A. Baltzell,<sup>2</sup> M. Battaglieri,<sup>3</sup> M. Bondí,<sup>4</sup> S. Boyarinov,<sup>2</sup> S. Bueltmann,<sup>5</sup> V. D. Burkert,<sup>2</sup> D. Calvo,<sup>6</sup> M. Carpinelli,<sup>5</sup> A. Celentano,<sup>3</sup> G. Charles,<sup>9</sup> L. Colaneri,<sup>10,11</sup> W. Cooper,<sup>1</sup> C. Cuevas,<sup>2</sup> A. D'Angelo,<sup>10,11</sup> N. Dashyan,<sup>13</sup> M. De Napoli,<sup>4</sup> R. De Vita,<sup>3</sup> A. Deur,<sup>2</sup> R. Dupre,<sup>9</sup> H. Egiyan,<sup>2</sup> L. Elouadrhiri,<sup>2</sup> R. Essig,<sup>14</sup> V. Fadeyev,<sup>15</sup> C. Field,<sup>1</sup> A. Filippi,<sup>6</sup> A. Freyberger,<sup>2</sup> M. Garçon,<sup>16</sup> N. Gevorgyan,<sup>15</sup> F. X. Girod,<sup>2</sup> N. Graf,<sup>1</sup> M. Graham,<sup>1</sup> K. A. Griffionen,<sup>17</sup> A. Grillo,<sup>15</sup> M. Guidal,<sup>9</sup> R. Herbst,<sup>1</sup> M. Holtrop,<sup>18</sup> J. Jaros,<sup>1</sup> G. Kalicy,<sup>5</sup> M. Khandaker,<sup>19</sup> V. Kubarovsky,<sup>2</sup>
E. Leonora,<sup>4</sup> K. Livingston,<sup>20</sup> T. Maruyama,<sup>1</sup> K. McCarty,<sup>18</sup> J. McCormick,<sup>1</sup> B. McKinnon,<sup>20</sup> K. Moffeit,<sup>20</sup> O. Moreno,<sup>11,57</sup> C. Munoz Camacho,<sup>9</sup> T. Nelson,<sup>1</sup> S. Niccolai,<sup>9</sup> A. Otian,<sup>1</sup> M. Oriunno,<sup>1</sup> M. Osipenko,<sup>3</sup> R. Paremuzyan,<sup>18</sup> S. Paul,<sup>17</sup> N. Randazzo,<sup>4</sup> B. Raydo,<sup>3</sup> B. Reese,<sup>1</sup> A. Rizzo,<sup>9,11</sup> P. Schuster,<sup>12,12</sup> Y. G. Sharabian,<sup>2</sup> G. Simi,<sup>2,23</sup> A. Simonyan,<sup>9</sup> V. Sipala,<sup>7,8</sup> D. Sokhan,<sup>20</sup> M. Solt,<sup>1</sup> S. Stepanyan,<sup>2</sup> H. Szumila-Vance,<sup>25</sup> N. Toro,<sup>121</sup> S. Uemura,<sup>1</sup> M. Ungaro,<sup>2</sup> H. Voskanyan,<sup>13</sup> L. B. Weinstein,<sup>5</sup> B. Wojtsekhowski,<sup>2</sup> and B. Yale<sup>18</sup>

#### (Heavy Photon Search Collaboration)



### Dark Photon - Invisible



### Beam Dumps

Beam-dump experiment: LDM detection in a e- beam, fixed target setup

#### **LDM Production:**

- High-energy, high-intensity e- beam impinging on the dump
- LDM particles pairs produced radiatively, through A' emission (A'-strahlung), both on-shell or off-shell

#### LDM Detection:

- Detector placed O(20-100 m) behind the dump
- Neutral-current scattering on atomic e- through A' exchange, recoil releasing visible energy
- Signal O(100 MeV) electromagnetic shower





### E137

- E137 results have been reanalyzed also in the invisible A' scenario
- First analysis (Batell) focused on A'-strahlung production mechanism
- Analysis included detailed simulation of original E137 detection threshold and trigger







### E137

> 10

10

10-8

10

10-1

10

10

 $10^{-1}$ 

10

 $10^{-15}$ 

 $10^{-2}$ 

- New analysis (Marsicano) included the contribution of secondary positron annihilation e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> → A' to the total A' yield
- Due to EM showering, an electron beam-dump is a positron rich environment
- ► The contribution of positron resonant annihilation is sizable





L. Marsicano et al., Phys. Rev. Lett. 121, 041802 (2018)

10<sup>-1</sup>

m, (GeV/c<sup>2</sup>)

## The Beam Dump eXperiment

**BDX:** modern beam-dump experiment at **Jefferson Lab** - 11 GeV ebeam, Al-H<sub>2</sub>O beam-dump. 10<sup>22</sup> EOT in 285 days.

- Detector installed O(20 m) behind Hall-A beam-dump, in a new experimental hall
- Passive shielding layer between beam-dump and detector to reduce SM beamrelated background
- Sizable overburden (10 m water-equivalent) to reduce cosmogenic background





### The BDX Detector

**BDX detector:** state-of-the-art EM calorimeter, CsI(TI) crystals with SiPM-based readout, surrounded by active veto layers and a passive lead shielding to reduce cosmic background

#### **Detector design:**

- 800 CsI(Tl) crystals, total interaction volume 0.5 m<sup>3</sup>
- 5 cm thick lead shielding
- Dual active-veto layer (IV and OV), made of plastic scintillator counters with SiPM readout

#### **Calorimeter arrangement:**

- 1 module: 10x10 crystals, 30-cm long; front face: 50x50 cm<sup>2</sup>
- 8 modules: interaction length 2.6 m

### Signal:

- EM-shower, (threshold: 300 MeV), anticoincidence with IV and OV
- Efficiency (conservative): O(10% 20%) dominated by EM shower splash back to veto counters





### **BDX: Sensitivity and Status**

#### **BDX reach:**

- With O(10<sup>22</sup>) EOT, BDX can explore an unique region in the MeV-GeV LDM mass region, with a discovery potential up to two orders of magnitude better than existing or planned experiments
- Final reach is limited by the beam-related irreducible v background

#### **Experiment status:**

Experiment approved by JLab PAC in 2018 with the highest scientific rating



 $10^{-1}$ 

 $m_{\gamma}$  (GeV/c<sup>2</sup>)

 $10^{-2}$ 

## Missing Energy - NA64

- **NA64:** missing energy experiment at CERN North Area; 100 GeV e- beam
- **Tagged** 100 GeV e- impinges on active target
- A' produced radiatively, leave the detector with high kinetic energy

 $\rightarrow$  search for missing energy search for missing energy events with no activity in the downstream hadron calorimeter

#### **Detector:**

- EM-Calorimeter: 40 X<sub>0</sub> , Pb/Sc Shashlik
- Hadron calorimeter: 4 m, 30  $\lambda_1$
- Beam identification system: SRD +MM trackers
- Plastic scintillator based counters for VETO





### NA64 - Results

- ▶ NA64 just published the analysis of 2.84 · 10<sup>11</sup> EOT
- After applying all selection cuts, no events are observed in the signal region E<sub>ECAL</sub><50 GeV, E<sub>HCAL</sub><1GeV</p>
- Expected number of background events ~ 0.5 compatible with null observation
- Most competitive exclusion limits in large portion of the LDM parameters space

TABLE I:	Expected	background	for	2.84	$\times 10^{11}$	EOT
----------	----------	------------	-----	------	------------------	-----

Background source	Background number, $n_b$ < 0.01		
punchthrough $\gamma$ 's, cracks, holes			
loss of dimuons	$0.024\pm0.007$		
$\mu \to e\nu\nu, \pi, \ K \to e\nu, \ K_{e3} $ decays	$0.02\pm0.01$		
$e^-$ interactions in the beam line	$0.43 \pm 0.16$		
$\mu, \pi, K$ interactions in the target	$0.044 \pm 0.014$		
accidental SR tag and $\mu, \pi, K$ decays	< 0.01		
Total n <sub>b</sub>	$0.53\pm0.17$		



## Missing Momentum - LDMX

**LDMX:** Proposed missing monentum experiment with muti-GeV electron beam –  $10^{16}$  EOT in few years measurement run  $\rightarrow$  very fast detector required

#### Fast Si tracker

- Tagging tracker in 1.5 T field
- Recoil tracker in fringe field
- W ( $0.1-0.3 X_0$ ) target in between

#### **EM Calorimeter**

- Design based on ongoing CMS forward Si/W calorimeter upgrade
   Hadron Calorimeter
- Veto for penetrating hadrons(most critical: neutrons)
- Sci/steel sampling design
- Hermetic: surrounds ECAL on back and on sides





### LDMX Status

Background studies and detector design efforts are currently ongoing...

- close to 0 background events are expected for a preliminary run of 10<sup>14</sup> EOT
- Particular care for non-trivial hadronic backgrounds (e.g. n pairs, backward particles,...) is required
- Large statistics run optimization: pT signature / HCAL design / beam energy...



### Conclusions

- Vector mediated light Dark Matter in the MeV-GeV mass range is largely unexplored
- Theoretically, it is as founded as the more "traditional" WIMP paradigm, since it can efficiently explain DM relic density, assuming the existence of a new force
- Medium-small size fixed-target experiments can make a great contribution to the exploration of this paradigm, both in the "visible" and "invisible" dark photon decay scenario
- Visible Searches:

Beam-dumps

Spectrometer-search: invariant mass or detached vertex technique

Invisible searches:

Beam-dumps

Missing energy / momentum