First order QED corrections to the Bethe-Heitler process in the $\gamma p \rightarrow l^+ l^- p$ reaction

Matthias Heller

October 31, 2019

Matthias Heller

● ■ ● ■ ○ Q ○
 October 31, 2019 1/28

・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

Proton radius puzzle

- talks by Nilanga Liyanage and Randolph Pohl
- discrepancy between measurements from muonic spectroscopy and electron data
- at least 4 standard deviations difference
- physics beyond the Standard model?



Test of lepton universality

- Assume universal proton form factor for all leptons
 - \rightarrow same proton radius for muons and electrons
- Broken universality could be an explanation for proton radius puzzle
- Test this with upcoming experiments:
 - MUSE @ PSI (electron vs muon scattering)
 - COMPASS @ CERN (high energy muon scattering off the proton)
 - MAMI $\gamma p \rightarrow e^- e^+ p$ vs. $\gamma p \rightarrow \mu^- \mu^+ p$



- 1 Bethe-Heitler process at leading order
- 2 Corrections in soft-photon approximation
- ③ First order QED corrections to Lepton tensor
 - 4 Hadronic corrections



< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 >

Bethe-Heitler process

 $2 \rightarrow 3 \mbox{ process has 5 independent kinematic invariants}$

$$(p_{3} + p_{4})^{2} = s_{II}$$

$$(p_{3} - p_{1})^{2} = t_{II}$$

$$(p_{3} - p_{2})^{2} = u_{II}$$

$$(p_{1} + p)^{2} = s$$

$$(p - p_{3})^{2} = u$$

$$p_{2}^{2} = (p - p')^{2} = t$$

$$s_{II} + t_{II} + u_{II} = 2m^{2} + t$$

 p_1 p_3 p_3 p_4 p_4 p_4 p_4 p_4

A D > A A > A > A

Lab quantities: $E_{\gamma}, E_{\rho'}, \theta_{\rho'}, \phi_{II}^{lab}, \theta_{II}^{lab}$

э

Differential cross section

Fully differential cross section is given by:

$$\left(\frac{d\sigma}{dt\,ds_{ll}\,d\Omega_{ll}^{CM_{l^+l^-}}}\right)_0 = \frac{\alpha^3 \sqrt{1 - \frac{4m^2}{s_{ll}}}}{16\pi (2ME_{\gamma})^2 \,t^2} L_0^{\mu\nu} H_{\mu\nu}$$

The unpolarized hadron tensor can be expressed in terms of two form factors:

$$H^{\mu
u} = (-g^{\mu
u} + rac{p_2^{\mu}p_2^{
u}}{p_2^2})[4M^2 au G_M^2(t)] + ilde{
ho}^{\mu} ilde{
ho}^{
u}[G_E^2(t) + au G_M^2],$$

where $\tilde{p} \equiv (p + p')/2$ and $\tau \equiv -t/(4M^2)$.

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト 二日

Lepton tensor



The leading order unpolarized lepton tensor is given by:

э

< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 >

Integrated cross section

Only the recoiled proton is observed

ightarrow integration over lepton angles in center-of-mass frame of dilepton-pair

$$\left(\frac{d\sigma}{dt\,ds_{ll}}\right)_{0} = \frac{\alpha^{3}\beta}{16\pi(2ME_{\gamma})^{2}\,t^{2}} \cdot \int d\Omega_{ll}^{CM_{l+l}-} \left(L_{0}\right)_{\mu\nu} H^{\mu\nu}$$



< □ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 >

Bethe-Heitler process

Comparison of cross sections for I = e and $I = \mu$ can be used to test lepton universality:

$${\sf R}({\sf s}_{{\it I}{\it I}},{\sf s}_{{\it I}{\it I}}^0)\equiv rac{[\sigma(\mu^+\mu^-)]\,({\sf s}_{{\it I}{\it I}})+[\sigma(e^+e^-)]({\sf s}_{{\it I}{\it I}})}{[\sigma(e^+e^-)]({\sf s}_{{\it I}{\it I}})}$$



Pauk and Vanderhaeghen, PRL 115 (2015)

Ratio at tree level

aim: measure ratio with absolute precision of $\sigma = 7 imes 10^{-4}$



10 / 28

Matthias Heller

Soft-photon approximation

Assume scaling of loop momentum

$$k \sim \lambda$$

 $d^4 k \sim \lambda^4$,

where λ is small compared to all external scales

 \rightarrow sensitive to infrared divergences

propagator denominator	scaling at least as
$(k+p_3)^2 - m^2$	λ
$(k - p_4)^2 - m^2$	λ
k^2	λ^2
$(p_3 - p_1 + k)^2 - m^2$	1

 \rightarrow only box graph contributes

Matthias Heller

Virtual soft-photon corrections



$$\mathcal{M}^{\text{box}} = (ie^2) \ 4 \cdot (p_3 p_4) \cdot \mathcal{M}_0 \ \mu^{4-d} \int \frac{d^d k}{(2\pi)^d} \frac{1}{(p_3 + k)^2 - m^2} \\ \times \frac{1}{(k - p_4)^2 - m^2} \frac{1}{k^2} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda) \\ = -\frac{e^2}{8\pi^2} \left(s_{II} - 2m^2 \right) \cdot \mathcal{M}_0 \cdot C_0 \left(m^2, s_{II}, m^2, 0, m^2, m^2 \right)$$

In on-shell scheme, we need infrared divergent parts of counter terms:



Real soft-photon corrections



$$\left|\mathcal{M}(\gamma p \to \gamma_{\mathsf{s}} I^{+} I^{-} p)\right|^{2} = \left|\mathcal{M}(\gamma p \to I^{+} I^{-} p)\right|^{2} (-e^{2}) \left[\frac{p_{3}^{\mu}}{p_{3} \cdot k} - \frac{p_{4}^{\mu}}{p_{4} \cdot k}\right] \left[\frac{p_{3\mu}}{p_{3} \cdot k} - \frac{p_{4\mu}}{p_{4} \cdot k}\right] + \mathcal{O}(\lambda)$$

Integration in frame S, in which $\vec{p}_3 + \vec{p}_4 + \vec{k} = 0$, over soft-photon energy up to ΔE_s :

$$\left(\frac{d\sigma}{dtds_{||}}\right)_{s;R} = -\left(\frac{d\sigma}{dtds_{||}}\right)_{0} \frac{e^{2}}{(2\pi)^{3}} \int_{|\vec{k}| < \Delta E_{s}} \frac{d^{3}\vec{k}}{2k^{0}} \left[\frac{m^{2}}{(p_{3}k)^{2}} + \frac{m^{2}}{(p_{4}k)^{2}} - \frac{2(p_{3}p_{4})}{(p_{3}k)(p_{4}k)}\right]$$

Image: A matrix and a matrix

Detector resolution

- $\bullet\,$ measure energy $E_{p'}$ and scattering angle $\theta_{p'}$ of recoiling proton
- this gives s_{II} and $\tau = -t/(4M^2)$:

$$E' = M(1+2 au) \ \cos heta_{p'} = rac{s_{II}+2(s+M^2) au}{2(s-M^2)\sqrt{ au(1+ au)}}$$

Due to bremsstrahlung s_{ll} gets shifted, resulting in relation between maximum energy of undetected soft photon and experimental recoiling proton angular resolution

$$\Delta E_s = rac{2ME_\gamma\sqrt{ au(1+ au)}}{\sqrt{s_{II}}} \sin heta_{p'} \Delta heta_{p'}$$

イロト (個) (日) (日) 日 の()

Detector resolution



Matthias Heller

Corrections in soft-photon approximation

$$\begin{split} &\left(\frac{d\sigma}{dt\,ds_{ll}}\right)_{\rm s} \equiv \left(\frac{d\sigma}{dt\,ds_{ll}}\right)_{\rm 0} \left(1+\delta\right) \\ &= \left(\frac{d\sigma}{dt\,ds_{ll}}\right)_{\rm 0} \left\{1-\left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi}\right) \left\{\left[\ln\left(\frac{4\Delta E_{\rm s}^2}{m^2}\right) + \ln\left(\frac{1-\beta}{1+\beta}\right)\right] \left[1+\left(\frac{1+\beta^2}{2\beta}\right)\ln\left(\frac{1-\beta}{1+\beta}\right)\right] \right. \\ &\left. + \left(\frac{1-\beta}{\beta}\right)\ln\left(\frac{1-\beta}{1+\beta}\right) + \left(\frac{1+\beta^2}{2\beta}\right) \left[4\operatorname{Li}_2\left(\frac{2\beta}{1+\beta}\right) + \ln^2\left(\frac{1+\beta}{1-\beta}\right) - \pi^2\right]\right\}\right\} \end{split}$$

Following [Yennie, Frautschi, Suura, 61] we can exponentiate the "double logs":

$$\begin{split} &\left(\frac{d\sigma}{dt\ ds_{ll}}\right)_{\rm s,tot} \equiv \left(\frac{d\sigma}{dt\ ds_{ll}}\right)_0 (1+\delta_{\rm exp}) \\ &= \left(\frac{d\sigma}{dt\ ds_{ll}}\right)_0 F\ \exp\left\{-\frac{\alpha}{\pi}\left[\ln\left(\frac{4\Delta E_s^2}{m^2}\right) + \ln\left(\frac{1-\beta}{1+\beta}\right)\right] \left[1 + \left(\frac{1+\beta^2}{2\beta}\right)\ln\left(\frac{1-\beta}{1+\beta}\right)\right]\right\} \\ &\times \left\{1 - \frac{\alpha}{\pi}\left[\left(\frac{1-\beta}{\beta}\right)\ln\left(\frac{1-\beta}{1+\beta}\right) + \left(\frac{1+\beta^2}{2\beta}\right)\left[4\ {\rm Li}_2\left(\frac{2\beta}{1+\beta}\right) + {\rm ln}^2\left(\frac{1+\beta}{1-\beta}\right) - \pi^2\right]\right]\right\} \end{split}$$

with $\beta = \sqrt{1 - \frac{4m^2}{s_{||}}}$, $F = 1 + O(\alpha^2)$ soft-photon phase-space factor

Matthias Heller

One-loop diagrams



October 31, 2019 17 / 28

2

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Strategy of calculation

- after applying Feynman rules, map loop integration to scalar integrals
- use Integration-By-Part (IBP) identities to relate different integrals to set of master integrals
- use of computer algebra to do this:
 - QGRAF [Nogueira '93]
 - FORM 4.1 [Kuipers, Ueda, Vermaseren, Vollinga '12]
 - Reduze 2 [Studerus, von Manteuffel '12]
- dimensional regularization [t'Hooft and Veltman, '72] for UV and IR divergences

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト 二日

Automated evaluation of Feynman diagrams



On-shell renormalization

- amplitude is divergent for high loop momenta
- absorb infinities in counter terms
- renormalization procedure to fix finite piece of counter terms
- e.g. vertex renormalization:



IR divergences

- full one-loop calculation reproduces IR divergences as predicted from soft-photon limit
- cancellation with IR divergences from real radiation
- still use soft-photon approximation for these (no hard radiation)



Checks of the calculation

- Virtual corrections have the correct infrared structure
- Gauge invariance of lepton tensor
- Reproduction of soft-photon double logarithms
- Exact agreement with known result [Huld, 68] for $m^2 << s_{II}, t, t_{II}$
- Comparison with independent, numerical calculation in FormCalc

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Hadronic corrections



Matthias Heller

October 31, 2019 23 / 28

2

Cancellation of Box type diagrams

Consider parity transformation of di-lepton pair

$$\theta_{II} \to \pi - \theta_{II}, \qquad \phi_{II} \to \pi + \phi_{II}$$

This corresponds to interchange of lepton and anti-lepton, resulting in a symmetry property of the cross section:

$$\mathrm{d}\sigma_{\mathrm{pBox}}^{\gamma p \to pl_{+}l_{-}} \left(\pi - \theta_{II}, \ \phi_{II} + \pi\right) = -\mathrm{d}\sigma_{\mathrm{pBox}}^{\gamma p \to pl_{+}l_{-}} \left(\theta_{II}, \ \phi\right)$$

Integration over lepton angles yields therefore exactly zero

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Correction to cross section



[M.H., Tomalak, Vanderhaeghen, 18, M.H., Tomalak, Wu, Vanderhaeghen, 19]

Matthias Heller

Results

Effect on ratio of cross sections



Matthias Heller

October 31, 2019 26 / 28

Results

Effect on ratio of cross sections



Matthias Heller

October 31, 2019 27 / 28

Conclusion and Outlook

- we calculated full one-loop QED corrections on lepton side of Bethe-Heitler process
- hadronic corrections are negligible at required level of precision
- upcoming experiment at MAMI (Mainz) aims to test lepton universality
- complementary $\gamma p \rightarrow l^+ l^- p$ experiment could shed light on the proton radius puzzle
- next step: calculation for initial photon off-shell (electron-scattering)

イロト 不得 トイヨト イヨト 二日